

ERRATA AND AFTERTHOUGHTS, November 2008

p. XIII, l. 24 read Heilporn (instead of Helporn)

p. 28, l. 11 read οἴνου (instead of οἴνου)

p. 28, l. 21-24: The amphora is dated from the late Ptolemaic period. Since the name Paulos is attested in the Roman period, another proposal is to resolve this monogram as another name which contains the letters Π A Y Λ in any sequence. A similar monogram was found in 2008 (B7001-67; dated from the Ptolemaic period; imported amphora) which contains the letters Π (with curved legs), A (or O within the legs of Π; Cf. **484**), Λ (above Π) and E (formed by the right curved of Π and a horizontal stroke); thus read the letters ΠΑΛΕ or ΠΟΛΕ; cf also the discussion on the monogram ΠΑΡ, ΠΑΤΡ (p. 28 and **11**, **514**). Or could we consider the monogram in **401** as a compatible one with the monogram ΠΑ (see discussion in p. 28). In that case read $\pi\alpha\lambda\alpha(10)\tilde{\upsilon}$?

p. 59, l. 16 (date of **74**) read I-II AD (instead of II BC)

p. 132, l. 14 (transcription of the text **262**) read $\kappa\alpha\bar{\rho}$ (instead of $\kappa\alpha^{\rho}$)

p. 233, l. 1 (date of **527**) read I BC – I AD (instead of III BC (?); l. 4 (read I BC instead of III BC); cf. the same layer in **386**. The same name Θεοφ[appears in a notation found in 2008 (B7001-63; local Egyptian amphora dated from the year 1 of a king in the first century BC).

p. 336, indices s.v. $\pi\epsilon\tau\epsilon(\)$: **149** (instead of **148**).