

en ligne en ligne

BIFAO 125 (2025), p. 425-448

Foy D. Scalf

New Papyri from Bab el Gusus? The Prosopography and Provenience of Papyrus HM 84123

Conditions d'utilisation

L'utilisation du contenu de ce site est limitée à un usage personnel et non commercial. Toute autre utilisation du site et de son contenu est soumise à une autorisation préalable de l'éditeur (contact AT ifao.egnet.net). Le copyright est conservé par l'éditeur (Ifao).

Conditions of Use

You may use content in this website only for your personal, noncommercial use. Any further use of this website and its content is forbidden, unless you have obtained prior permission from the publisher (contact AT ifao.egnet.net). The copyright is retained by the publisher (Ifao).

Dernières publications

9782724710885	Musiciens, fêtes et piété populaire	Christophe Vendries
9782724710540	Catalogue général du Musée copte	Dominique Bénazeth
9782724711233	Mélanges de l'Institut dominicain d'études	Emmanuel Pisani (éd.)
orientales 40		
9782724711424	Le temple de Dendara XV	Sylvie Cauville, Gaël Pollin, Oussama Bassiouni, Youssreya
		Hamed
9782724711417	Le temple de Dendara XIV	Sylvie Cauville, Gaël Pollin, Oussama Bassiouni
9782724711073	Annales islamologiques 59	
9782724711097	La croisade	Abbès Zouache
9782724710977	???? ??? ???????	Guillemette Andreu-Lanoë, Dominique Valbelle

© Institut français d'archéologie orientale - Le Caire

New Papyri from Bab el Gusus? The Prosopography and Provenience of Papyrus HM 84123

FOY D. SCALF*

ABSTRACT

A Book of the Dead papyrus in the Huntington Library belonged to a woman who was a "singer of Amun" (sm'.t n lmn) named Taaatemperamun (T3-'3.t-m-pr-lmn). A working hypothesis is presented that she may be the same person who owned the Amduat papyrus Cairo S.R.VII.10242 (written T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-lmn), thereby potentially identifying the missing manuscript from the commonly attested set of Book of the Dead and Amduat papyri found in mortuary assemblages of the Twenty-First Dynasty during the Third Intermediate Period. In the course of discussing the evidence for this working hypothesis, an argument is made that previous proposals for identifying four separate personal names (3s.t, 3sty, T3-'3.(t)-lmn, and T3-'3.t-m-pr-lmn) as belonging to a single individual should be rejected. In reassessing these claims, the most recent research suggests that the assemblages in which their manuscripts were discovered had been configured in an ad hoc fashion in antiquity. Thus, this article argues that attention to the original owners' names must remain an important criterion for identifying ancient individuals and suggests caution in assuming different names belonged to the same individual without more specific evidence for confirmation.

BIFAO 125 - 2025

^{*} Research Associate, Institute for the Study of Ancient Cultures, The University of Chicago. The author would like to thank Cynthia Sheikholeslami, Andrzej Niwiński, Peter F. Dorman, Emily Teeter, Marissa Stevens, and Ashley Arico for reading drafts of this article and offering many useful suggestions for clarification and improvement. The author is responsible for its final form and any of its shortcomings. He would also like to gratefully acknowledge the kindness and hospitality of Jennifer Watts and the entire staff of the Huntington Library in San Marino, California, for bringing these manuscripts to his attention, facilitating onsite access, and offering such a productive and welcoming research environment. A version of this research was presented at the annual meeting of the American Schools of Overseas Research on November 17, 2023.

Keywords: Book of the Dead, Amduat, Third Intermediate Period, Twenty-First Dynasty, Bab el Gusus, papyri, prosopography, hieratic, *T3-'3.t-m-pr-Ímn*.

RÉSUMÉ

Un papyrus du Livre des Morts de la bibliothèque Huntington appartenait à une femme qui était une « chanteuse d'Amon » (šm'.t n ĺmn) nommée Taaatemperamun (T3-'3.t-m-pr-ĺmn). Une hypothèse de travail est présentée, selon laquelle il pourrait s'agir de la même personne qui possédait le papyrus de l'Amdouat Caire S.R.VII.10242 (avec le nom T3- $^{\prime}3$.(t)-(m)-pr-fmn), ce qui reviendrait à identifier potentiellement le manuscrit manquant de l'ensemble communément attesté des papyrus du Livre des Morts et de l'Amdouat trouvés dans les assemblages mortuaires de la XXI^e dynastie à la Troisième Période Intermédiaire. À l'occasion de la discussion de cette hypothèse de travail, un argument est avancé, qui conduit à rejeter les propositions formulées jusqu'ici qui tendent à identifier quatre noms personnels distincts (3s.t, 3sty, T3-'3.(t)-Imn et T3-'3.t-m-pr-Imn) comme étant ceux d'une seule et même personne. Les recherches les plus récentes suggèrent que les assemblages dans lesquels les manuscrits considérés ont été découverts l'ont été de manière ad hoc dans l'Antiquité. Le présent article soutient que l'attention portée aux noms des propriétaires d'origine doit rester un critère important pour identifier les individus et suggère la prudence lorsqu'on suppose que différents noms appartenaient au même individu, sans davantage de preuves spécifiques pour étayer une telle hypothèse.

Mots-clés: Livre des Morts, Amdouat, Troisième Période Intermédiaire, XXI^e dynastie, Bab el-Gousous, papyri, prosopographie, hiératique, *T3-'3.t-m-pr-Îmn*.

25

INTRODUCTION

Starting with a set of unpublished papyri currently in the Huntington Library, in this article I propose the following series of interconnected arguments:

- I. An unpublished papyrus in the Huntington Library (HM 84123) belonged to a woman named *T3-'3.t-m-pr-Imn*;
- 2. A working hypothesis is proposed that this woman may be the same as the owner of the Amduat papyrus Cairo S.R.VII.10242 (written T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-Îmn). Support for this working hypothesis is twofold. First, this personal name is currently known only from these two papyri. Second, in the Twenty-First Dynasty of the Third Intermediate Period, a very common practice was to incorporate a set of manuscripts in the mortuary assemblage consisting of a Book of the Dead papyrus, often placed inside an Osiris statuette,

and an Amduat papyrus, often placed on the body between the legs. Associating both the Book of the Dead P. HM 84123 and the Amduat P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242 with the same woman named *T3-'3.t-m-pr-Imn* results in the potential reconstruction of such a set of manuscripts.

- 3. The above working hypothesis suggests that previous theories for reconstructing several mortuary assemblages from Bab el Gusus should be reevaluated. First, I suggest a new appraisal of the original owners of a series of manuscripts (including the above-mentioned P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242) based on the owner's names as written in the manuscripts (3s.t, 3sty, T3-'3.(t)-Îmn, and T3-'3.t-m-pr-Îmn), and not, therefore, based on the supposed associated material with which these manuscripts were found (e.g., coffins, scarabs, etc.). Focusing on the names as written in the original manuscripts, I argue that previous proposals correlating several different names with the same ancient individual should be rejected. Second, this new appraisal of the prosopography implies that several published assertions combining materials from Bab el Gusus into groups belonging to specific individuals requires, in some instances, reconsideration.
- 4. The above arguments entail a detailed investigation of assessments published in the scholarly literature weighed against the evidence from the primary sources. I will argue that the evidence from the primary sources forces us to rethink previously published mortuary assemblage reconstructions. From these reevaluations, I will propose a working hypothesis that the Book of the Dead P. HM 84123 and the Amduat P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242 belonged to the same woman named T3-C3.t-m-pr-lmn. It is hoped that future scholarship can confirm or deny the accuracy of this working hypothesis.

BOOK OF THE DEAD PAPYRUS HM 84123

In October 2022, senior curator at the Huntington Library Jennifer Watts contacted the author to inquire about two papyri in their collection. After an initial evaluation through photos provided by Watts, an onsite examination of the papyri took place in January 2023. Complete editions of both papyri will be published in the coming year (2024–25). Preliminary information is available through the Huntington Library's online catalog,² and further information will be provided in this article, prior to the final publication of their editions.

¹ Lenzo 2023; Niwiński 1989.

Papyrus HM 46671: https://catalog.huntington.org/record=b1889146. Papyrus HM 84123: https://catalog.huntington.org/record=b1889147. The author learned in late February 2024 that Ann-Katrin Gill had submitted an article for publication on P. HM 46671 in 2022 to appear in *Revue d'Égyptologie* 2023. See GILL 2023. Dr. Gill had been working on the manuscript since 2019 with curator Stephen Tabor. When the author was invited by curator Jennifer Watts to work on these manuscripts, no indications of any prior work being done on these manuscripts was communicated to the author. Furthermore, the papyri remained uncatalogued, and they had no photographs on record until after the author's visit to the Huntington in January 2023, after which information provided by the author was used to create the catalog records above along with photos taken by Huntington library staff. As such, readers are referred here to the edition of HM 46671 in GILL 2023. Further information was presented by the author in a paper "Book of the Dead, Book of Magic: A New Hieratic Papyrus" at the American Research Center in Egypt's annual meeting on April 20, 2024 in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.



Fig. 1. Leading illustration (so-called "etiquette") of Papyrus HM 84123.

The two papyri were acquired through a gift of the estate of Alice Parsons Millard in 1938,3 who may have purchased them through Quaritch or Maggs. 4 Both manuscripts date to the late Twenty-First Dynasty (ca. 990-976 BC). Papyrus HM 46671 belonged to a w'b-priest named P3-'n-f3i "Paanfai." Despite the description found in the incipit⁵ suggesting compositions from the Book of the Dead, 6 it is inscribed with two columns of religious, magical, and liturgical texts following an opening illustration of Paanfai before Osiris. The contents of papyrus HM 46671 are unique and very interesting, but it is not the subject under discussion here. The second papyrus, HM 84123 (Fig. 1), belonged to nb.t pr šm'.t n Ímn-R' ny-sw.t ntr.w *T3-'3.t-m-pr-Ímn* "head of the household, singer of Amun-Re, king of the gods,

Taaatemperamun." After an initial illustration showing Taaatemperamun before Osiris, the papyrus is inscribed with fifteen columns of text across eighteen papyrus sheets consisting of spells from the Book of the Dead.⁷

The following essay will present an analysis of the prosopography and provenience of Taaatemperamun's papyrus, suggesting links with known individuals from material discovered in the Bab el Gusus cache and raising questions about its place of origin.

- 3 A 1938 inventory lists the two papyri as 1129C (HM 46671) and 1129E (HM 84123), while a manuscript on "The Evolution of the Book" by George M. Millard from February 20, 1939 lists them among "group two" as "#B1129C Papyrus Fragment" and "#B1129E Papyrus—Book of the Dead—Mss. (20 ft.long)."
- 4 For more information on the collecting practices of Alice Millard, see Cloonan 2006. As of October 2022, Cloonan was working on a biography on Alice Millard. I would like to thank Michèle Cloonan for the information that "Alice was in London almost every year from 1901–37, except during WWI. She acquired many of her book-related objects from Quaritch and Maggs" and Millard listed Quaritch as "her European contact address."
- As in the study of Medieval manuscripts, I use incipit here to refer to the phrases ancient scribes used to introduce or describe a following composition (e.g., r.i.w n.w pri.t m hrw "spells of going out in the day").
- 6 the mdd.t.n.t pril.(t) m 'hrw' pril.(t) 'qw sh 3h in Wsir w'b n İmn-R' ny-sw.t ntr.w P3-'n-f3i' "The book of going out in the day, of going out and entering, of glorifying the spirit, by Osiris, priest of Amun-Re, king of the gods, Paanfai." For the personal name P3-'n-f3i, see PN I, p. 102, no. 24. Given the rarity of this name, it seems very likely that this w'b-priest P3-'n-f3i is identical to the w'b n h3.t n.(t) İmn-R' "w'b-priest at the forefront of Amun-Re" attested as the father of P3-msi-hm on the latter's coffin Cairo CG 6008, which was found in Bab el Gusus coffin set A.95 (Daressy 1907, p. 10; Chassinat 1909, pp. 23–31, see pp. 25–26 for attestation of father's name, pl. II; NIWINSKI 1988, p. 130, no. 136; GILL 2023, pp. 25–27).
- The spells are found in the following order, given with the column and line numbers where the spells begin and end: 64 (1.1–2.17), 133 (2.17–4.1), 136 (4.1–5.10), 134 (5.10–6.9), 1 (6.9–7.11), 72 (7.11–8.10), 2 (8.10–8.13), 3 (8.13–8.18), 65 Naville (8.18–9.9), 92 (9.9–10.2), 68 (10.2–11.12), 69 (11.12–13.9), 70 (13.9–14.4), 101 (14.5–15.6). Columns 1.1–10.1 were written by one scribe and columns 10.2–15.5 were written by a second scribe. For the sequence, see Lenzo 2023, p. 97.

BOOK OF THE DEAD OF TAAATEMPERAMUN: PROSOPOGRAPHY OF PAPYRUS HM 84123

Papyrus HM 84123 belonged to a woman named *T3-'3.t-m-pr-lmn* "Taaatemperamun," meaning "the great (female) one is in the temple of Amun." The name is likely a reference to a goddess such as Mut, "the great one is in the temple of Amun," which would be appropriate for a woman in a family of temple musicians at Thebes. This interpretation is based on parallel names such as *lmn-m-pr-Mw.t* "Amun is in the temple of Mut," *T3-šr.t-m-pr-Mw.t* "the daughter is in the temple of Mut," *T3-nlpt.(t)-m-pr-3s.t* "the powerful one is in the temple of Isis," *3s.t-m-pr-msi* "Isis is in the temple of birth," and *Mw.t-m-pr-msi* "Mut is in the temple of birth." The name appears in cursive hieroglyphs in the leading illustration with titles and epithets (Fig. 1): *Wsir nb.t pr šm'.t n lmn T3-'3.t-n-pr-lmn m3'-lprw* "Osiris, head of the household, singer of Amun, Taaatemperamun, true of voice."

Unfortunately, nowhere in the text are the names of any other associates or family members identified, and neither are any additional titles. In the cursive hieroglyphic portion of the text, her name is written with n instead of m. In the hieratic portions of the text, the name is predominantly written with m, although in at least one case the preposition is omitted

- 8 Not attested in *PN*, nor in Trismegistos People. Cf. masculine names beginning *Pz-':* in *PN* I, p. 102; *PN* II, p. 278, or *Sw-m-pr-İmn* (*PN* I, p. 302).
- SADEK 1985, p. 121 suggests Isis, "d'après une titulature courante de la déesse Isis dans la région thebaine." However, I am not aware of '3.t m pr Îmn "great one in the temple of Amun" being a specific epithet for Isis, particularly from this period. From Ptolemaic times on, the epithets 3s.t n.t pr Îmn "Isis of the temple of Amun" (LGG I, pp. 71–72); t3 '3.t n.t Nîw.t "the great one of Thebes" (LGG II, p. 60); and '3.t m W3s.t "the great one is in Thebes" (LGG II, p. 56) are attested. Rather, I assume that Sadek's comments were intended for '3.t "great one" only; yet this epithet had been applied to virtually any goddess (LGG II, p. 54–55). Some of the more pertinent examples include: 3s.t m s.t '3.t "Isis is in the great place" from Abydos (LGG II, p. 76; cf. epithets formed on the pattern of 3s.t m GN in LGG I, pp. 71–72); '3.t m Îmn.t "the great one is in Dendara" (LGG II, p. 55); '3.t m înb.w hd "the great one is in Memphis" (LGG II, p. 56); '3.t m W1s.t "the great one is in Edfu" for Isis and Hathor (LGG II, p. 57); '3.t m P "the great one is in Buto" for Menqet (LGG II, p. 57); t3 '3.t P3-linty "the great one of Pakhenty" in the Mut temple (LGG II, p. 58); '3.t n.t pr R' "great one of the temple of Re" for Nb.t-htp.t (LGG II, p. 58).
- of Amun"). Although this seems less likely, such a hypothesis would suggest that the name is not necessarily a birth name, but an honorific name taken on as part of their sacred duties or in honor of such duties held in their family lineage. For a discussion of personal names incorporating titles, see SCALF forthcoming. Cf. also names honoring the king and royal cult like R'-msi-sw-m-pr-lmn "Ramses is in the temple of Amun" (PN I, p. 218), Nfr-k3-R'-m-pr-lmn "Neferkare is in the temple of Amun" (PN I, p. 200), and Mri-Pth-m-pr-lmn "Meriptah is in the temple of Amun," as well as corresponding temple area names such as hw.t-ntr R'-msi-sw mri lmn hnm.t nhh m pr lmn "the temple of Ramses, beloved of Amun, united with eternity, is in the temple of Amun" (see Haring 1998; Grallert 2007, p. 43; Ullmann 2016, p. 422). For other examples, see also TLA Lemma 600156.
- 11 TM Nam 14435; PN I, p. 28, no. 4.
- 12 TM Nam 19737.
- 13 TM Nam 16304; PN I, p. 326, no. 24.
- 14 TM Nam 15923; PN I, p. 259, no. 19.
- 15 TM Nam 19868.
- Note that the personal names formed on the pattern "DN is in GN" listed in this paragraph have been interpreted in the traditional manner as sentences (e.g., T3-'3.t-m-pr-Îmn "The great one is in the temple of Amun"). However, another possibility is to interpret them in a similar manner to some epithets (e.g., Js.t m pr Îmn "Isis in the temple of Amun") with the result that feminine personal names formed from the same pattern could be understood like the epithets (e.g., T3-'3.t-m-pr-Îmn "the great one in the temple of Amun").

entirely (Table 1).¹⁷ From the attested variations in this papyrus, it is clear that *T3-'3.t-m-pr-Ímn*, *T3-'3.t-n-pr-Ímn*, and *T3-'3.t-pr-Ímn* are all versions of the same name.¹⁸ While this point may seem superfluous, the identity between these variations is significant to the working hypothesis that *T3-'3.t-m-pr-Ímn* of the Book of the Dead P. HM 84123 may be the same woman as *T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-Ímn* of the Amduat P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242.

1.3	ARHIN JOSE	
1.14	处还和几个大道	
2.3	建树 门3 末 2	
2.6	人至中门门建立	
3.13	三年的第一年	
4.11	企型 出版专名	
14.10	ないかった。	

TABLE 1. Examples of the name *T3-'3.t-m-pr-Imn* from the hieratic texts of HM 84123.

According to what is currently known,¹⁹ the name T_3 - G_3 .t-m-pr-Imn is otherwise only attested on the Amduat papyrus Cairo S.R.VII.10242, also paired with the title S_3 Imn "singer of Amun."²⁰ On the Cairo papyrus, the name is written only a single time,

¹⁷ As Table 1 shows, there are slight differences between orthographies of the name. Some are minor variations made by the same scribe, while others are indicative of the multiple scribes who worked on this manuscript.

¹⁸ For the assimilation of *n* and *m* in Late Egyptian and later, see Erman 1933, pp. 23 (\$47), 289–290 (\$599), 292 (\$603); Junge 2001, p. 38; Černý, Groll 1975 (ed. 1993), pp. 4–6, 92; Peust 1999, p. 161–163. For the omission of *n/m*, see Erman 1933, p. 298 (\$607); Černý, Groll 1975 (ed. 1993), pp. 110–111; Peust 1999, pp. 157–158.

The name is not listed in PNI, PNII, Gratien 1991, Backes 2002, Jansen-Winkeln 2007, Jiménez-Higueras 2022, Demot. Nb., or Trismegistos People. Only the owner of Amduat P. Cairo S.R. VII. 10242 is listed in Onstine 2005; Niwiński 1988; Niwiński 1989; and the other sources cited in this article. Additional databases and online tools were also consulted, such as the Online Egyptological Bibliography, to determine whether the name occurs on objects in addition to these two papyri (Book of the Dead and Amduat); no other examples were found.

²⁰ Cairo S.R.VII.10242 = C15 in SADEK 1985, pp. 143–144, pl. 25 = Cairo 79 in NIWIŃSKI 1989, p. 281. See Table 3 below. For a study of women holding this title, see Onstine 2005.

as $T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-\dot{I}mn^{21}$ in the cursive hieroglyphic inscription in the etiquette illustration identifying the owner (see Fig. 2), omitting the preposition n/m (as in some instances in Book of the Dead P. HM 84123). This Cairo papyrus derives from coffin set A.84 in Daressy's list of the Bab el Gusus material.²² Therefore, the first question to investigate is whether $T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-\dot{I}mn$ of Amduat P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242 could be the same individual as $T3-'3.t-m-pr-\dot{I}mn$ of Book of the Dead P. HM 84123.





Fig. 2. Two papyri illustrated in the same workshop as demonstrated by the similarities in their illustrations. On the left, Papyrus Cairo S.R.VII.10242 made for T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-fmn from A.84. On the right, Papyrus Cairo S.R.IV.552 made for T3-'3.(t)-fmn from A.127.

Unfortunately, a great deal of uncertainty now permeates the secondary literature after the conflation of T3- $^{\prime}3$.(t)-(m)-pr- $\dot{I}mn$ of Amduat P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242, found between the legs of a female mummy in coffin set A.84 of Padiamun, with several other women following the suggestion of Abdel-Aziz Fahmy Sadek. ²³ Sadek suggested that the name T3- $^{\prime}3$.(t)-(m)-pr- $\dot{I}mn$ was the full form of the name of a woman otherwise called T3- $^{\prime}3$.(t)- $\dot{I}mn$, who is attested on another Amduat P. Cairo S.R.IV.552 (= JE 95654)²⁴ found as part of assemblage A.127 in the

In an effort to help reduce confusion and increase precision, the name on Book of the Dead P. HM 84123 will be here rendered T3-'3.t-m-pr-Imn, to distinguish it from the variant writing T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-Imn on Amduat P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242.

DARESSY 1907, p. 29. Daressy's numbers referred to the sets of inner and outer coffins with mummy board. As noted by SOUSA 2018, pp. 26–27: "Inside the galleries, Daressy numbered the coffin sets with labels glued to the headboard (the numbers form the later A-list) according to the position they occupied in the tomb, beginning with the ones closest to the entrance." Cynthia Sheikholeslami (personal communication) notes that it was Ahmed Kamal who labeled the coffin sets.

²³ SADEK 1985, pp. 120–122, 143.

²⁴ Cairo S.R.IV.552 = JE 95654 = C9 in SADEK 1985, pp. 120–122 = Cairo 15 in NIWIŃSKI 1989, p. 258.

Bab el Gusus. ²⁵ Thus, Sadek suggested that these two papyri—Amduat P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242 of T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-Imn (from Daressy's coffin set A.84) and Amduat P. Cairo S.R.IV.552 of T3-'3.(t)-Imn (from Daressy's coffin set A.127)—belonged to the same woman. ²⁶ He further identified T3-'3.(t)-Imn with another woman named 3s.t because Amduat P. Cairo S.R.IV.552 was found in the Bab el Gusus coffin set labeled A.127 by Daressy, among which were other papyri and a scarab bearing the name 3s.t. ²⁷ According to Sadek's argument, all these papyri belonged to the same individual named 3s.t, who took on the nickname T3-'3.(t)-Imn, which was subsequently abbreviated to T3-'3.(t)-Imn. Finding two Admuat papyri for the same person located in two different coffins is completely unexpected; therefore, associating them with the same individual seems unwarranted. Nevertheless, the influence of Sadek's proposal has been felt far and wide in the scholarly literature, as well as being incorporated into several online databases.

It is important to document here the cascading effects Sadek's proposal has had, with the hopes of unravelling some of the complications it may pose for future research. The following descriptions are given in chronological order based on the date of publication (further organized in Table 2):

- In Niwiński's seminal work on the Third Intermediate Period papyri, he partially followed the same line of reasoning as Sadek by assigning four papyri to a woman named 3s.t ("Cairo 14, 15, Cairo I, J"), even though one of the papyri (Cairo 15) was inscribed for T3-'3.(t)-Imn.²⁸
- Karl Jansen-Winkeln attempted to separate out T3-'3.(t)-Imn's Amduat papyrus (Cairo S.R.IV.552 = JE 95654 = Sadek C 9), noting that it was inscribed for a different woman than 3s.t; he listed the three other papyri together with assemblage A.127 since they were all inscribed for individual(s) named 3s.t.

²⁵ Daressy 1907, pp. 33–34.

As noted by Anderzej Niwiński (personal communication), both papyri (Cairo S.R.VII.10242 and Cairo S.R.IV.552) are of the Amduat type (A.II.1.a and A.II.1.b respectively), a fact which also points to the papyri belonging to different individuals.

²⁷ Daressy 1907, pp. 33–34.

²⁸ Although under the individual manuscripts Niwiński listed the individual owner's name, thus assigning Cairo 15 (= Cairo S.R.IV.989 = JE 95654) to *T3-'(2.(t)-lmn* (Nrwiński 1989, p. 258), in the chart on Nrwiński 1989, p. 381, he includes this same papyrus in the group Cairo 14, 15, I, and J under the name *Js.t.* Niwiński's grouping is also followed by Stevens 2019, p. 204. For a chart of equivalences between various numbering systems, see Table 3 below.

²⁹ Jansen-Winkeln 2007, p. 227: "Dann wäre T_3 - $\mathcal{I}(t-n)$ -Jmn der Name einer anderen Person, kein Beiname der $\mathcal{I}st$, und damit entfällt auch der Grund, diesem Begräbnis sogar noch einen weiteren, fünften Totenpapyrus zuordnen."

JANSEN-WINKELN 2007, p. 227, who included in this list the following papyri from Cairo: JE 95651 (= S.R.IV.549), JE 95654 (= S.R.IV.552), but noting it belonged to T3- $^{\prime}$ 2.(t)- $\dot{I}mn$ (rather than 3s.t), CG 58001, and CG 58026. Lenzo 2021, p. 225, no. 23, grouped together JE 95651 (= S.R.IV.549), JE 95886 (= S.R.IV.990), and JE 95654 (= S.R.IV.552) as belonging to one individual (although JE 95654 (= S.R.IV.552) was inscribed for T3- $^{\prime}$ 2.(t)- $\dot{I}mn$, as described above), while she grouped CG 58001 (present in Jansen-Winkeln's list) with P. FMNH 31326 and P. Cairo S.R.VII.10239 as part of assemblage A.66 as belonging to a separate individual whose name is written 3sty in P. FMNH 31326 and P. Cairo S.R.VII.10239, while it is written as 3s.t in CG 58001. The latter follows the grouping of Aston 2009, p. 175, who notes that NIWIŃSKI 1989, p. 302 (s.v. "Cairo I") associated CG 58001 with A.127.

• Dividing the group of Jansen-Winkeln differently, Aston grouped as many as four papyri for "Isis" (Js.t) with A.127 (TG 800),³¹ three papyri for another woman named "Isis" (between the three manuscripts, the name is spelled Jsty and Js.t) with A.66 (TG 739),³² and one of the papyri we have been discussing—Cairo S.R.VII.10242 (= Sadek C 15 = Cairo 79)—with A.84 (TG 757), which for the latter papyrus he incorrectly read the name as "Taamun," when the name on that papyrus is T3-'J.(t)-(m)-pr-Imn (as described above). His reading resembles the name T3-'J.(t)-Imn from papyrus Cairo S.R.IV.552 (= JE 95654), which he also reads as "Taamun"³³ despite the differences in the writing of the original names.

- Stephen Quirke has recently adopted a similar prosopography following Sadek and Niwiński by citing one individual named "*İst T3-'3-İmn*" associated with four manuscripts "Cairo 14, 15, I, J" and a second individual named "*T3-'3-İmn*" associated with the manuscript "Cairo SR VII 10242."³⁴ In this way, he has, on the one hand, conflated *3s.t* and *T3-'3.(t)-İmn* (as had Sadek and Niwiński), but separated out *T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-İmn*, yet misread the name as *T3-'3.(t)-İmn* (as had Aston).
- Giuseppina Lenzo has partially followed this identification, grouping together two papyri inscribed for 3s.t (Book of the Dead papyrus Cairo S.R.IV.549 and "magical" papyrus Cairo S.R.IV.990) with the papyrus inscribed for T3-'3.(t)-İmn (Cairo S.R.IV.552) based on their association in the assemblage A.127 from Bab el Gusus (see Table 2).35
- A conflation between all the names has been incorporated into Dik van Bommel's website
 on ushabtis where a single individual has been identified as "Isis, Aset, Taaatemperimen
 or abbreviated Taaatimen."³⁶
- Similarly, the Bab el Gusus (BeG) online database equates T3-'3.(t)-Ímn and T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-Ímn as a single individual named T3-'3.(t)-Ímn, and goes on to suggest that "depuis l'indentification de la propriétaire de l'ensemble A 127 avec la dame T3-'3t-Jmn surnommé 3st, les statuettes d'3st sont associées à l'assemblage funéraire A 127."37

TG is used throughout ASTON 2009 for "tomb group," forming a primary organizational principle for Aston in attempting to divide the material into related collections. ASTON 2009, pp. 187–188 includes with A.127 the following papyri: "Magical" P. CG 58026, Amduat JE 95654, "Magical" P. CG 58001, and Book of the Dead P. JE 95651. However, ASTON 2009, p. 175 also groups "Magical" P. CG 58001 with A.66.

³² ASTON 2009, p. 175 includes with A.66 the following papyri: "Magical" P. CG 58001, Amduat P. SR.VII.10239, and Book of the Dead P. FMNH 31326.

³³ ASTON 2009, p. 188. Generally, the anglicization "Taamun" would be used for the Egyptian name $T3-(n.t)-\dot{I}mn$ or $Ta-\dot{I}mn$ (TM Nam 1262), which should be kept distinct from $T3-\dot{I}3.(t)-\dot{I}mn$ "Taaatamun" for the sake of reducing confusion in the literature. E.g., Stevens 2018, pp. 384–385 and Fig. 6.12 (and Stevens 2019, p. 223), likewise followed "Taamun" for $T3-\dot{I}3.(t)-\dot{I}mn$, while she used "Taaatempawia" for $T3-\dot{I}3.t-m-p3-wi3$ (note "-aat" here, but not in "Taamun" where "Taaatamun" may improve clarity) in her chart on p. 358.

³⁴ Quirke 2019, p. 502, Table I.1.1.

³⁵ Lenzo 2021, p. 225, no. 23; Daressy 1907, pp. 33–34.

³⁶ https://www.ushabtis.com/bab-el-Gusus/#Shabti%20Iset%20(Isis)%20%E2%80%93%20Ast.

³⁷ Cf. http://beg.huma-num.fr/guest-work-report/b9fibe68-bbe3-IIeb-a53a-5254000ab4ec (which should be read as *T3-C3*. (t)-(m)-pr-lmn) and http://beg.huma-num.fr/guest-work-report/6199c8do-bbe5-IIeb-a53a-5254000ab4ec (where the conflation is briefly discussed).

Inventory Numbers	Owner	Niwiński 1989	Jansen- Winkeln 2007	Aston 2009	Quirke 2019	Lenzo 2021*	van Bommel
Cairo S.R.IV.549 (= JE 95651= Cairo 14)	3s.t	A.127	A.127 3st	A.127 (TG 800) Isis	A.127 Íst T3-'3-ímn	A.127 No. 23	A.127 Iset
Cairo S.R.IV.990 (= CG 58026 = JE 95886 = Cairo J)	3s.t	A.127	A.127 3st	A.127 (TG 800) Isis	A.127 Îst T3- ^c 3-îmn	A.127 No. 23	A.127 Iset
Cairo S.R.IV.989 (= Cairo CG 58001 = JE 95885 = Cairo I)	3s.t	A.127	A.127 3st	A.66 (TG 739) Isis	A.127 Íst T3-'3-ímn	A.66 No. 41	A.66 Isety
Cairo S.R.IV.552 (= JE 95654 = Cairo 15)	T3-'3.(t)-Ĭmn	A.127	A.127 T3-'3.(t-n)-Ĭmn	A.127 (TG 800) Isis	A.127 Íst T3-'3-ímn	A.127 No. 23	A.127 Iset
Cairo S.R.VII.10239 (= Cairo 76)	<i>3sty</i>	A.66 10¶	A.66 3stjj	A.66 (TG 739) Isis	A.66 Ísty	A.66 No. 41	A.66 Isety
FMNH 31326 (= Chicago 1)	3sty	A.66	A.66 3stjj	A.66 (TG 739) Isis	A.66 Ísty	A.66 No. 41	A.66 Isety
Cairo S.R.VII.10242 (= Cairo 79)	T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-Îmn	A.84		A.84 (TG 757) Taamun	A.84 T3-'3-imn	A.84 No. 66	A.127 Iset
HM 84123	T3-'3.t-m-pr-Îmn						

TABLE 2. Groupings of papyri in the secondary literature.**

Building upon these previous interpretations, Marissa Stevens attempted to describe the convoluted nature of the tomb assemblage A.127 in her UCLA dissertation:

There is debate and confusion over the content of this assemblage, Daressy's A.130.³⁸ Personal notes³⁹ of Georges Daressy, provided by David Aston (Aston, *op. cit.*, 175 & 188) state that this papyrus⁴⁰ was found "under the mummy" of Isis.⁴¹ Andrzej Niwiński identifies this papyrus

^{*} Lenzo (2021) organized the data into a chart showing sets of papyri. The chart consisted of columns for inventory number and content for papyrus one, inventory number and content for papyrus two, date, and bibliography. Each row of the chart was numbered and represented a group of manuscripts that she believed belonged together. The numbers presented in Table 2 above (e.g., "No. 23") are the numbers from Lenzo's rows. Lenzo's chart did not include identifying information for the ancient owners of the manuscripts.

^{**}The table shows the designations of the papyri in the first column along with their equivalent numbers cited in the literature. The second column shows the owner's name as attested on the papyrus. The remaining columns show how various scholars have grouped the material along with the ancient names provided in those secondary sources. One row has been rendered in light grey to highlight the most contested papyrus in the group. Individual manuscripts are not listed in the BeG database, and therefore the prosopographic conflation from the database could not be equated with the rows in the table.

^{38 !}sic as this should read "A.127."

³⁹ These "personal notes" are those published in the article DARESSY 1907, p. 34: "Un troisième papyrus placé sur les dos"

⁴⁰ T_3 - $'_3$.(t)-Imn's papyrus (Cairo S.R.IV.552 = JE 95654).

There is even more uncertainty than Stevens suggests, as ASTON 2009, p. 188 lists "papyrus under body" among A.127, but footnote 1389 following this entry notes "possibly CG 58001," yet the description of A.127 states: "The Amduat papyrus, Cairo JE 95654, although supposedly from this burial perhaps to be equated with the 'papyrus under body' bears the name

belonging to a group of three others: Cairo CG 58026 (S.R. IV 990, J.E. 95886), Cairo CG 58001, Cairo J.E. 95651 (S.R. IV 549). Aston challenges this reconstruction of the burial assemblage, claiming that no assemblage would contain two "magical papyri" (Cairo CG 58001 and Cairo J.E. 95651 (S.R. IV 549)).⁴² Aston would rather assign one of these two papyri⁴³ to Daressy's A.66 because this assemblage belonged to another woman named Isis with the same titles.⁴⁴ Aston, however, also confuses Daressy's A.66 with that of Siamun (*Ibid.*, 188), Daressy's A.127,⁴⁵ assigning the wrong owners' names to these two assemblages.⁴⁶

Aston assigned A.130 to "Siamun," 47 A.66 to "Isis," 48 and A.127 to a different woman named "Isis." Aston assigned these two separate assemblages (A.66 and A.127) to two different women named "Isis" based on the names found in the papyri among these two groups, although the papyri from A.66 used the spelling *Isis* "Asty" to refer to their owner. 49 However, Stevens may have been focused on the names found on the coffins, because a scarab from A.130 was inscribed for Siamun, which was used by Daressy and followed by Aston to designate the assemblage, but the coffins of A.130 were inscribed for a woman named Aset (Is.1). 50 The above examples were selected to demonstrate how complicated the secondary literature has become on these

of the chantress of Amun, Taamun (sic), and is presumably usurped." Therefore, in two different passages on this same page Aston has suggested that the "papyrus under the body" could be either CG 58001 or Cairo JE 95654. In Daressy 1907, p. 34, apparently it was the latter: "Un troisième papyrus placé sur les dos et revenant sur la flanc gauche remplaçait la plaque en cire ordinaire; il est au nom de ." Since Cairo JE 95654 is the only papyrus belonging to T3-C3.(t)-İmn, it seems clear that the "papyrus placé sur le dos" refers to it. For Cairo CG 58001 being the second papyrus of the three listed by Daressy for A.127, see the comments of WÜTHRICH 2015, p. 9, n. 19: "Le second est sans aucun doute le P. Caire CGC 58001."

- 42 Stevens correctly points out Aston's rationale for dividing the papyri differently. However, there is an inaccuracy here as papyrus JE 95651 = S.R.IV.549 is a Book of the Dead papyrus, whereas the two "magical" papyri discussed by Aston were Cairo CG 58001 and Cairo CG 58026. Yet, Cairo CG 58001 contains the supplementary spell BD 166 and Cairo CG 580016 contains the spell BD 182, therefore, their conventional categorization as "magical" texts can be called into question (and this would call into question the rationale behind how the manuscripts are grouped). Of course, the entire paradigm of designating these manuscripts as "magical" or otherwise should be revised, but the space of this article is not the appropriate venue for such a discussion. For the contents of Cairo CG 58001 as BD 166, see WÜTHRICH 2015, vol. 1, pp. 9–10, 290 (pl. 8), vol. 2, pp. 142–167.
- 43 Cairo CG 58001.
- 44 Note, however, that the two "magical" papyri, Cairo CG 58001 and Cairo CG 58026, do not contain the titles of the owner.
- 45 Sic, as this should read "A.130," which is the A-list number given to the anonymous coffin containing a scarab with the name Siamun in DARESSY 1907, p. 34.
- 46 STEVENS 2018, p. 385, n. 566. STEVENS 2018, pp. 384–385, separates the two women, but reduces clarity when she describes the papyrus inscribed for *T3-'3.(t)-Îmn* as belonging to "Isis": "The second example is the Book of the Hidden Chamber papyrus of Isis. The female in the etiquette is clearly named Taamun Thus, this marks the clearest case of reuse, even if the reuse is only detectable via the context of the burial assemblage. It seems as if Isis reused this papyrus, perhaps because Taamun was a family member" The characterization of the papyrus as "reused" follows ASTON 2009, p. 188 who noted that "The Amduat papyrus, Cairo JE 95654 ... is presumably usurped."
- Following Daressy, but not A.127 as suggested by STEVENS 2018, p. 385, n. 566.
- 48 Not "Siamun" as suggested by STEVENS 2018, p. 385, n. 566.
- 49 E.g., 3sty in FMNH 31326 (A.66) and Cairo S.R.VII.10239 (A.66), see Niwiński 1989, pp. 280 and 303, Chicago 1 and Cairo 76. The owner of the coffins and the owner of the papyri from A.66 are listed separately in Onstine 2005, nos. 132 and 334.
- 50 Niwiński 1988, p. 133, no. 151; Onstine 2005, p. 105, no. 153.

papyryi, their owners' names, and their supposedly associated coffin sets, during the past forty years of Egyptological discussion (see Table 2).⁵¹

Further complicating matters is the fact that the etiquettes of the Amduat papyrus of T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr- $\mathring{I}mn$ (Cairo S.R.VII.10242) and the Amduat papyrus of T3-'3.(t)- $\mathring{I}mn$ (Cairo S.R.IV.552) were clearly made in the same workshop (see Fig. 2), an aspect that partially informed Sadek's argument for equating the personal names 3s.t, T3-'3.(t)- $\mathring{I}mn$, and T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr- $\mathring{I}mn$. 52 However, the production of papyri in the same workshop is not a strong criterion for assuming two separate names referred to a single individual. If Sadek's method of identification were accepted along with arguments made by later scholars noted above, up to seven individual papyri could be associated with a single individual (among the documents naming 3s.t, 3sty, T3-'3.(t)- $\mathring{I}mn$, and T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr- $\mathring{I}mn$). Considering that ownership of seven individual funerary papyri by a single individual would be completely unprecedented, combined with the lack of parallels corroborating one person with so many different names, it seems that Sadek's argument requires reanalysis.

It is extremely unlikely that the papyri inscribed with the name T3- $^{\prime}3.t$ -m-pr- $^{\prime}Imn$ or T3- $^{\prime}3.(t)$ -(m)-pr- $^{\prime}Imn$ were inscribed for any of the individuals with the name 3s.t, 3sty, or T3- $^{\prime}3.(t)$ - $^{\prime}Imn$ because the names are all clearly distinguished by how they are written. Likewise, the two names that are the most similar—T3- $^{\prime}3.(t)$ - $^{\prime}Imn$ of P. Cairo S.R.VII.10239 and T3- $^{\prime}3.(t)$ - $^{\prime}(m)$ - $^{\prime}pr$ - $^{\prime}Imn$ of P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242—were found in two completely separate coffin assemblages (A.127 and A.84 respectively). If we separate these manuscripts according to their owner's name as found in the papyri, we find 3s.t associated with a set of three papyri: Book of the Dead Cairo S.R.IV.549, "magical" papyrus Cairo S.R.IV.989, and "magical" papyrus Cairo S.R.IV.990; 3sty associated with two papyri: Book of the Dead FMNH 31326 and Amduat Cairo S.R.VII.10239; T3- $^{\prime}3.t$ - $^{\prime}Imn$ associated with a single papyrus: Amduat Cairo S.R.IV.552; and T3- $^{\prime}3.t$ - $^{\prime}Imn$ associated with two papyri: Amduat Cairo S.R.VII.10242 and Book of the Dead HM 84123.

This would align more closely with the expected practices of how religious manuscripts were employed in the Twenty-First Dynasty, during which sets of two manuscripts were very common, but larger sets remained relatively rare.⁵⁴ Therefore, following the employment of the owner's name on the material as a primary criterion for distinguishing among papyri, it seems likely that we are dealing here with at least four separate individuals: 3s.t "Aset," 3sty

Like many such finds from the period, the Bab el Gusus material is rife with confusion and uncertainties. Cf. Zarli 2019, p. 480.

⁵² SWART 2004, pp. 286–287, pls. 96 and 101, lists these two manuscripts as part of her "papyri workshop 3." These two papyri and their owners were kept correctly distinct and separate in Onstine 2005, nos. 299 and 317.

Anderzej Niwiński pointed out (personal communication) that the two coffin sets were positioned separately in the antechamber, with coffin set A.127 "located three meters higher than the side-corridor where" coffin set A.84 was positioned, suggesting they were from separate deposits as related assemblages tended to be grouped in close vicinity. Likewise, both coffin set A.84 and coffin set A.127 contained mummified human remains and were thus not representative of the same woman. For example, the unwrapping of the young woman found in coffin set A.84 was described in *Le monde illustré* 1801 (3 October 1891). I would like to thank Cynthia Sheikholeslami for this reference.

⁵⁴ Lenzo 2021, p. 216; Lenzo 2023.

"Asty," *T3-'3.t-İmn* "Taaatamun," and *T3-'3.t-m-pr-İmn* "Taaatemperamun" respectively, all of whom were singers of Amun.⁵⁵ The primary material discussed in this article⁵⁶ that may be associated with them are as follows, including the spelling of their names and titles in the papyri (see also Table 3):

3s.t "Aset"

Book of the Dead papyrus (Cairo S.R.IV.549 = JE 95651)⁵⁷ with spells 180, 136, 134, 1, 65, 136B, 136, 98, 99, 14, 82, 77, 86, 85, 83, 84, 81, 80, 111, 112, 113, 109

Wsir šm'y.(t) n İmn-R' ny-sw.t ntr.w 3s.t m3'.(t)-hrw

Osiris, singer of Amun-Re, king of the gods, Aset, true of voice

• "Magical" papyrus (Cairo S.R.IV.989 = JE 95885 = CG 58001)⁵⁸ with BD spell 166⁵⁹

Wsir 3s.t

Osiris Aset

• "Magical" papyrus (Cairo S.R.IV.990 = JE 95886 = CG 58026)60 with BD spell 182

Wsir 3s.t

Osiris Aset

→ All three papyri were associated with A.127 of Bab el Gusus along with a scarab, all of which were inscribed with the name of 3s.t "Aset."

Of course, this reconstruction itself may still potentially conflate individuals together, as it is not conclusively proven yet that the three papyri belonged to the same 3s.t or that the two papyri belonged to the same T3-'3.t-m-pr-Imn.

⁵⁶ Additional material has been attested or associated with these individuals. Only the most relevant material to the arguments made in this article has been treated in the list.

⁵⁷ TM 134469.

⁵⁸ TM 134435.

⁵⁹ Note that even if all three of these papyri belonged to a single individual named *3.t.t*, meaning she had more than the more commonly attested group of two manuscripts, none of the compositions on the individual papyri overlapped. All three manuscripts contained different compilations of Book of the Dead spells. As such, this fact may weaken Aston's argument for separating the two "magical" manuscripts discussed above.

⁶⁰ TM 134443.

3sty "Asty"61

• Book of the Dead papyrus (FMNH 31326)⁶² with spells 130, 125B, 110, 149, and 151

Wsír nb.(t) pr šm^c.t Ímn 3sty

Osiris, head of the household, singer of Amun, Asty

• Amduat papyrus (Cairo S.R.VII.10239)

Wsir šm'.(t) İmn 3sty

Osiris, singer of Amun, Asty

→ Both papyri have been associated with A.66 of Bab el Gusus along with coffins inscribed with the same name.⁶³

T3-'3.(t)-Îmn "Taaatamun"

• Amduat papyrus (Cairo S.R.IV.552 = JE 95654)

Wsir šm'.t n İmn T3-'3.(t)-İmn

Osiris, singer of Amun, Taaatamun

→ Papyrus associated with A.127 of Bab el Gusus.

T3-'3.t-m-pr-Imn "Taaatemperamun"

• Book of the Dead papyrus (HM 84123) with spells 64, 133, 136, 134, 1, 72, 2, 3, 65 Naville, 92, 68, 69, 70, 101

Wsir nb.t pr šm'.t n İmn T3-'3.t-n-pr-İmn

Osiris, head of the household, singer of Amun, Taaatemperamun

⁶¹ Stevens (2019, p. 204) similarly lists these two papyri under a single individual named "Isis" in her chart. However, it is unclear what criteria Stevens has employed in developing naming conventions as other individuals are listed in her chart 2019, p. 193 under the designation "Aset" or "Aseti" as well as "Iset" and "Isety" (STEVENS 2019, pp. 203–204), in one case using a rendering based on Greek (e.g., "Isis") and in another case an anglicized rendering (e.g., "Aset," "Aseti," "Iset," and "Isety"). The two papyri listed under **Jsty* above have the owner's name written as **Jsty* in the papyri, and the reader might have expected to find them under either "Aseti" or "Isety" (rather than "Isis") in Stevens's chart.

⁶² TM 133573.

⁶³ Niwiński 1988, p. 122, no. 99.

• Amduat papyrus (Cairo S.R.VII.10242)

Wsír šm'.t n Ímn T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-Ímn

Osiris, singer of Amun, Taaatemperamun

→ Find spot of HM 84123 unknown, while Cairo S.R.VII.10242 was found in A.84 of Bab el Gusus.

Content	Owner	Museum Numbers	SR Number	JE Number	CCG Number	Niwiński 1989	Sadek 1985	Provenience
Book of the Dead	T3-'3.t-m-pr-İmn	HM 84123						
Amduat	T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-Imn		S.R.VII.10242			Cairo 79	C 15	A.84
Amduat	T3-'3.(t)-İmn		S.R.IV.552	JE 95654		Cairo 15	С9	A.127
Book of the Dead	3s.t		S.R.IV.549	JE 95651		Cairo 14		A.127
Book of the Dead BD 166 Amulet	3s.t		S.R.IV.989	JE 95885	CG 58001	Cairo I		A.127
Book of the Dead BD 182 Amulet	3s.t		S.R.IV.990	JE 95886	CG 58026	Cairo J		A.127
Amduat	3sty 1011		S.R.VII.10239			Cairo 76	C 4	A.66
Book of the Dead	3sty 	FMNH 31326				Chicago		A.66

TABLE 3. Designations of primary papyri discussed throughout this article.

If the association of the Book of the Dead papyrus HM 84123 with the same *T3-'3.t-m-pr-Ímn* as the Amduat papyrus Cairo S.R.VII.10242 is correct (currently uncertain), then HM 84123 would represent the otherwise unidentified Book of the Dead companion to *T3-'3.t-m-pr-Ímn*'s Amduat papyrus, forming the expected set of Book of the Dead and Amduat papyri so commonly attested.⁶⁴ While this hypothesis cannot be proven with absolute certainty based on current evidence, the fact that this name is currently attested on *only* these two papyri surely makes for a tantalizing and feasible possibility. Until further evidence appears to confirm or

64 Thereby filling in the data missing from the first two columns in the chart of Lenzo 2021, p. 232, no. 66. See also Lenzo 2023.

deny, it will be the working theory of this paper that the two papyri belonged to the same woman named T3- $^{\prime}3$.t-m-pr- $\mathring{I}mn$. One important aspect that distinguishes my argument from other proposals is that I offer it explicitly as a working hypothesis; future evidence could arise that suggests the T3- $^{\prime}3$.t-m-pr- $\mathring{I}mn$ of P. HM 84123 and the T3- $^{\prime}3$.(t)-(m)-pr- $\mathring{I}mn$ of P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242 were separate individuals. If using the owner's name as written in the original manuscripts more accurately identifies the individuals for whom the manuscripts were written than the prior reconstructions following Sadek, it then raises the question: where was papyrus HM 84123 from?

PROVENIENCE AND PROVENANCE OF PAPYRUS HM 84123

As described above, the name of Book of the Dead P. HM 84123's owner, T3-'3.t-m-pr-Imn, is attested in the form T3-'3.(t)-(m)-pr-Imn on Amudat P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242. As of the time of this writing, these are the only two attestations of this personal name currently known. The Amduat P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242 was uncovered as part of assemblage A.84 from the Bab el Gusus cache, and it is therefore tempting to suggest that Book of the Dead P. HM 84123 may also have been part of the Bab el Gusus cache. The only other documentation in support of associating HM 84123 directly with Bab el Gusus is the fact that HM 46671, acquired with HM 84123 by the Huntington Library, belonged to a w-b-priest named P3-n-f3i, who we suggested above is likely to be identical to the w-b-priest attested as the father of P3-msi-hm on the latter's coffin (Cairo CG 6008) from Bab el Gusus A.95. Despite these suggestive hints, however, neither of these papyri now in the Huntington Library appeared in the lists of material made by Daressy during the emptying of the Bab el Gusus tomb.

In theory, Bab el Gusus was a "closed" and secure find. Daressy's documentation of the contents of the tomb are, relatively speaking, decent, considering practices common at the time. Much of the material can be traced back to his initial lists. With such documentation, the obvious question is whether either papyrus HM 84123 or HM 46671 were noted as part of the find in 1891. As far as current evidence can be marshalled, they were not. If P. HM 84123 were among the materials deposited in the Bab el Gusus cache, it was either unidentified among the materials listed by Daressy, 1971 unidentified among the materials exported as gifts, or perhaps unidentified among

The spelling "Bab el Gusus" is used throughout this article reflecting the Arabic root \tilde{L}_{2} , following a forthcoming editorial note "Bab el-Gusus: A Note on the Name of the Tomb" in the *Bulletin of the Egyptian Museum* (I would like to thank Cynthia Sheikholeslami for providing me with a preprint version of this publication), which corrects the scholarly record concerning the origin of the name, thereby improving on previous accounts such as Sousa 2018, p. 21; Sousa, Amenta, Cooney 2021, p. 17 (where there is also confusion and mistakes about the pronunciation of various Arabic phonemes in the Egyptian dialect, e.g., "... the expression was corrected to 'Bab el-Kusus', certainly by scholars familiar with the Cairene form of Arabic, and eventually adapting it to the typical Gurnawi pronunciation and spelling with a -g, instead of -k").

66 Dautant 2016; Sousa 2018.

⁶⁷ Cf. Aston 2009, p. 164: "... unfortunately the speed with which the cache was cleared has meant that no records were kept of many of these objects and their present whereabouts are now unknown."

items that may have "leaked" out of the cache during the clearance, such as those manuscripts presumably hidden within Osiris figures along with objects sold in the *Salle des Ventes*. ⁶⁸

Materials and papyri from the Bab el Gusus tomb ended up purchased on the open antiquities market.⁶⁹ For example, FMNH 31326 from A.66 and FMNH 31759 from A.120 ended up in Chicago after being purchased in Cairo in 1895 and 1904 respectively.⁷⁰ Unlike the Huntington papyri, the two Chicago papyri can be clearly traced back to Daressy's list and the Bab el Gusus tomb. Other material has been attributed to the Bab el Gusus cache even if it was not discovered in the cache itself. For example, the Book of the Dead papyrus AIC 1894.180⁷¹ for *T3y=w-lpnw.t-Mw.t*, acquired by the Art Institute of Chicago with the funding of Henry H. Getty, Charles L. Hutchingson, Robert H. Fleming, and Norman W. Harris in 1894, was attributed to the cache by T. G. Allen based on the fact that materials belonging to *T3y=w-lpnw.t-Mw.t*'s "husband" were found in the cache:

Our lady and her BD were probably buried at Dair al-Bahri, Thebes, in a gallery-tomb shared in the 21st dynasty by more than 150 priests and priestesses of Amon, for the name borne by her husband is listed in an inventory of finds made there, though her own name does not appear.⁷²

Like so much with Bab el Gusus, Allen's proposal and identification are not so straightforward. First, the name he refers to was for her father, *Ns-p3-hr-n-t3-h3.t*,⁷³ not her husband⁷⁴ (her husband is otherwise unknown). The hieroglyphic caption of her papyrus refers to him:

Wsir nb.(t) pr šm'.t n İmn-R' ny-sw.t ntr.w T3y=w-hnw.t-Mw.t m3'.t-hrw s3.t sš pr-hd Ns-p3-hrn-t3-h3.t m3'-hrw

Osiris, head of the household, singer of Amun-Re, king of the gods, Tayuhenutmut, true of voice, daughter⁷⁵ of the scribe of the treasury, Nespaherentahat

- 68 See the conclusions of Niwiński 2016, p. 2 that "[a]n unknown number of the papyrus from the Bab el-Gusus tomb apparently were sold" based on the incomplete inventory of Osiris figures combined with the identification of two papyri (FMNH 31326 and FMNH 31759) purchased for the Field Museum.
- 69 According to Niwiński (personal communication): "The shop situated at the Egyptian Museum was officially selling original objects up to the years of World War II. It is, therefore, quite possible that not only the papyrus HM 84123 but also the papyrus HM 46671, and also the third papyrus ... (AIC 1894.180) were sold in that shop and all three may have originated from the Bab el-Gusus tomb."
- 70 Niwiński 1989, pp. 303–304 (= Chicago 1 and Chicago 2).
- 71 Images and information available in the AIC online catalog: https://www.artic.edu/artworks/805/funerary-papyrus-of-tayu-henut-mut. Note that the papyrus was at one point on loan to the Oriental Institute (now Institute for the Study of Ancient Cultures) where it received the registration number OIM E18039 (ALLEN 1960, p. 12), but the papyrus should be cited by its AIC 1894.180 registration number.
- 72 Allen 1960, p. 61, https://www.artic.edu/artworks/805/.
- 73 TM Nam 31850.
- 74 Allen (1923, p. 11, n. 1) correctly refers to this individual as her father.
- Because the strokes at the top of the egg hieroglyph overlapped ALLEN 1960, p. 12 read this word as hbsy.t "wife" (TLA Lemma 103900) with the V6 sign. Although hbsy.t PN "wife of PN" is attested from the period in the Oracular Amuletic Decrees, its appearance here would be extremely unusual, if not completely without parallel. For a discussion of the meaning of this term and the historical contexts of suggested translations, see Ward 1986, pp. 65–69; Hellum 2020, pp. 273–275.

Second, there is some uncertainty about whether the man cited by Allen is the same individual named among known persons in the Bab el Gusus cache. Daressy noted an inscription on linen as part of assemblage A.28 for hm-ntr fdw-nw lmn Ns-p3-hr-n-t3-h3.t "fourth prophet of Amun, Nespaherentahat." That seems likely to be a different person, as material for the fourth prophet of Amun, including his coffin (reused from Padiamun), is rather well documented, both in Bab el Gusus and beyond. Another man with this name is attested among the smaller items from Bab el Gusus. Daressy does not mention his titles, but according to Lieblein's recording, the same title (sš pr-hd) from Tayuhenutmut's papyrus appeared on an unspecified smaller object:

it-ntr n Îmn sš pr-hd pr Îmn Ns-p3-hr-n-t3-h3.t

God's father of Amun, scribe of the treasury of the temple of Amun, Nespaherentahat.⁷⁹

As far as I can determine, the location of this object remains unknown, and considering the lack of such titles in Daressy's list, it remains unclear exactly what this object is and whether it derived from Bab el Gusus. Yet, these same titles and name appear on a coffin also acquired in 1894 by the Art Institute of Chicago (AIC 1894.369a–b):⁸⁰

it-nţr n Îmn-R' ny-sw.t nţr.w sš n pr-ḥd n pr Îmn it-nţr n Mw.t Ns-p3-ḥr-(n-t3)-ḥ3.t

God's father of Amun-Re, king of the gods, scribe of the treasury of the temple of Amun, god's father of Mut, Nespaher(enta)hat.⁸¹

However, like Tayuhenutmut's papyrus, this coffin was not attested among those from the Bab el Gusus cache. Therefore, it seems that Nespaherentahat may have had an inscription on an object included in the Bab el Gusus tomb, but his coffin was likely from a different tomb or cache. 82 Unless his daughter's papyrus had been hidden within an Osiris figure in Bab el Gusus

⁷⁶ Daressy 1907, pp. 6 and 23, no. A.28; Jansen-Winkeln 2007, p. 233, no. 11.91; Aston 2009, p. 168 (TG 701).

⁷⁷ BIERBRIER 1975, p. 50; BROEKMAN 2000; JANSEN-WINKELN 2007, p. 233, no. 11.91 (coffin, linen, and *shabti* box); BROEKMAN 2010, p. 127; WEIGHTMAN, THOMSON 2016; BROEKMAN 2018, p. 17. See also https://www.ushabtis.com/bab-el-Gusus/#Shabti%20nespaherentahat. Several *ushabtis* for a god's father *Ns-p3-hr-n-t3-h3.t* appeared in Valbelle 1972, p. 58, nos. 130–31, pl. VII.

⁷⁸ Daressy 1907, p. 16.

⁷⁹ Lieblein 1892, p. 1004, no. 2544, listed under "b. Coffrets, statuettes et autres objets." The hieroglyphic transcription here follows Lieblein in lieu of physical examination of the object.

⁸⁰ Like Tayuhenutmut's papyrus, the coffin should be cited under its AIC accession number, not the expired OIM (now ISACM) E17333 loan number (e.g., NIWIŃSKI 1988, p. 134, no. 158; JANSEN-WINKELN 2007, p. 258, no. 11.151).

AIC 1894.369: https://www.artic.edu/artworks/248557. The reading here was taken from the caption over the coffin's owner found on the proper left side where he is offered life by the goddess of the west. The shorter version of his titles from the proper right side appeared in NIWIŃSKI 1988, p. 134, no. 158; JANSEN-WINKELN 2007, p. 258, no. II.151. Note that on the coffin, the name is usually written with the *hr* (D2) sign preceding the *p3* (G40) sign as if *Ns-hr-p3-...*, except for in one instance on the proper right side, foot end of the box where the name is written *Ns-p3-hr-t(3)-h3.t* (I would like to thank Ashley Arico for this information).

⁸² Another scribe named Nespaherentahat is known as well. See Jansen-Winkeln 2007, p. 254, no. 11.136; Polis 2023, p. 86, n. 68. For Twenty-First Dynasty Tombs at Thebes, see Kaczanowicz 2020 (I would like to thank Cynthia Sheikholeslami for this reference).

and later sold on the antiquities market,⁸³ it may very well have been part of a family cache together with her father's coffin, which would explain how they both ended up purchased in 1894 by the Art Institute of Chicago. This suggestion implies that Allen's conjecture could be misleading; Tayuhenutmut's papyrus may not be from Bab el Gusus, but perhaps from a tomb, deposit, or workshop in the larger vicinity of Deir el Bahari and the west bank of Thebes.

The most current research makes clear that Bab el Gusus was not the primary location for much of the material deposited within it;⁸⁴ like the royal cache in TT 320, the mortuary assemblages in the Bab el Gusus tomb appear to have been gathered together primarily from tombs, but also possibly from deposits, storage locations, and workshops in the vicinity and deposited in this secondary location.⁸⁵ Some of the material in the tomb was damaged, or even altered, in this process.⁸⁶ Such a sequence helps to explain the conglomerate nature of the material found in the space, the ad hoc nature of the collections, as well as the common occurrences of "reuse" or repurposing of objects.⁸⁷ Therefore, it seems likely that most, if not all, of the material had been previously stored or interred elsewhere before being moved and assembled into the Bab el Gusus galleries. Once the latter cache was closed in antiquity (ca. Psusennes II), the contents remained undisturbed until their modern discovery and clearance in 1891. Given the cache's location, it is likely that material had been gathered from a variety of sources, including local tombs in the Deir el Bahari area, such as the ones discovered by the Metropolitan Museum of Art in 1923–24 in tombs 59 and 60, which included materials and individuals related to the larger Bab el Gusus corpus.⁸⁸

Given the evidence discussed above, it is now difficult to determine whether the Book of the Dead P. HM 84123 derived from the Bab el Gusus tomb, perhaps secreted away in an Osiris statuette, or whether it had been gathered in a workshop, tomb, or cache for *T3-'3.t-m-pr-Imn* and other members of her family on the west bank of Thebes in the relative vicinity of Deir el Bahari.

⁸³ It is unclear if there is any relationship between Tayuhenutmut (Tzy = w-hnw.t-Mw.t), who was the owner of Book of the Dead papyrus AIC 1894.180, and the Tayuhenut (Tzy = w-hnw.t), who is attested on a mummy cover from Bab el Gusus (see Niwiński 1988, p. 133, no. 155). Note that a coffin base belonging to a woman named Tzy = w-hnw.t, who had the title "singer of Amun," was given to the Bolton Museum (BOLMG 1930.69.1; Niwiński 1988, p. 111, no. 43) along with an associated set of male mummified remains.

⁸⁴ BICKEL 2021.

⁸⁵ ZARLI 2019, p. 485: "In conclusion, the components of this coffin ensemble appear to have been scrambled together from various sources and reused for the burial of Ankhesenmut." SOUSA 2021, p. 147: "In fact, the tomb revealed objects dating back from the late Ramesside Period to the late 21st Dynasty. Even in the same coffin set objects with different dating can be easily found, suggesting that they were assembled opportunistically, as if sorted out from the objects available at a given storeroom."

⁸⁶ Sousa 2018, pp. 23–24 stated that this damage consisted of "intriguing clues suggesting that a methodical plunder of the burials took place even before its definitive sealing." However, Anderzej Niwiński (personal communication) noted that this damage occurred when the materials were taken from other family tombs and transferred to Bab el Gusus, implying therefore that there was no "plunder" of the materials once deposited in the Bab el Gusus tomb itself.

⁸⁷ COONEY 2018; 2019; 2021; STEVENS 2018. "Reuse" is put in quotes here because, given the eclectic nature of the Bab el Gusus assemblages, conceptualizing the intention behind "reuse" may need further nuance. For example, in the case of P. Cairo S.R.IV.552 = JE 95654 discussed above, the suggestion of Stevens (2018, pp. 384–385) that the papyrus was "reused" by Isis "perhaps because Taamun was a family member" implies an intention of Isis to reuse this papyrus. However, it is unclear exactly how and by whom the complicated set of objects associated with A.127 was put together. Given the impromptu mixing and matching of some of the material in Bab el Gusus, there are doubts about whether the grouping reflected the intention of any individuals named on the material itself.

⁸⁸ Lenzo 2021; Kamrin 2020.

In the Twenty-First and Twenty-Second Dynasties when collective caches (e.g., TT 320, KV 57, Bab el Gusus, etc.)⁸⁹ were being formed, some of the material from her family's collection appears to have been incorporated into the Bab el Gusus cache, which would explain how her Amduat papyrus Cairo S.R.VII.10242 ended up being found there.90 Furthermore, the fact that Amduat P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242 was found between the legs of a mummy suggests that the human remains were those of $T3-\zeta_2(t)-(m)-pr-Imn$ herself. If the two papyri belonged to the same individual, the unwrapping of her mummy was described in 1891.91 However, other material of her family members had been found elsewhere, the dispersal of which has since disguised its identification as an assemblage. 92 In either case, Book of the Dead P. HM 84123 remained where it would have been uncovered in the nineteenth century, potentially sold to dealers in London, purchased by Alice Parsons Millard for her book history collection, and finally donated to the Huntington Library. Many of the above suggestions remain speculative and founded on circumstantial evidence only. Ultimately, whether the working hypothesis that the two papyri (Book of the Dead P. HM 84123 and Amduat P. Cairo S.R.VII.10242) belonged to the same T3-'3.t-m-pr-Imn is completely accurate or not will require additional research and contributions by scholars working on related material.⁹³

ABBREVIATIONS

Demot. Nb. Demotisches Namenbuch, edited by Erich Lüddeckens, Wiesbaden, 1980–2000.

LGG Lexikon der ägyptischen Götter und Götterbezeichnungen, edited by Christian Leitz, OLA 110–16, Leuven, 2002.

TM Trismegistos Text Identification Number (www.trismegistos.org).
TM Nam Trismegistos Name Identification Number (www.trismegistos.org).

⁸⁹ Aston 2021; Sousa 2021, pp. 142–143; Broekman 2018, p. 18.

⁹⁰ As a parallel to how the treasury scribe Nespaherentahat's unidentified object ended up in Bab el Gusus, but his coffin and the papyrus of his daughter Tayuhenutmut seemed to have derived from elsewhere.

⁹¹ Le monde illustré 1801 (3 October 1891).

⁹² CAVILLIER 2021; SOUSA 2021, p. 143: "The picture provided by these finds suggests that during the 21st Dynasty the tombs previously excavated in the area of Deir el-Bahari composed a network of small caches. Although only a few of them had been found intact by archaeologists, given the available data collected so far, it seems logical to admit that many other small caches existed in the area."

⁹³ Of course, the author could be committing here a similar error of conflation (i.e., assuming one *Tɔ-'ɔ.t-m-pr-lmn* where there were really two) as he has accused Sadek of having done. Hopefully, future research will provide more certainty one way or the other.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ALLEN 1923

T.G. Allen, A Handbook of the Egyptian Collection (of the Art Institute of Chicago), Chicago, 1923.

Allen 1960

T.G. Allen, The Egyptian Book of the Dead: Documents in the Oriental Institute Museum at the University of Chicago, OIP 82, Chicago, 1960.

ASTON 2009

D. Aston, Burial Assemblages of Dynasty 21–25: Chronology—Typology—Developments, DGA 56, Vienna, 2009.

ASTON 2021

D. Aston, "The Royal Cache: A History of TT 320", in R. Sousa, A. Amenta, K. Cooney (eds.), Bab el-Gasus in Context: Rediscovering the Tomb of the Priests of Amun, Egitto Antico, Rome, 2021, pp. 31–68.

BACKES 2002

B. Backes, "Index zu Michelle Thirion, 'Notes d'onomastique: contribution à une révision du Ranke PN,' I-II^e série," *BMSEAS* 3, 2002, pp. I–30.

BICKEL 2021

S. Bickel, "Retrieving the King's Treasure–Reburying the King's Body: Contexts of 21st Dynasty Activities in the Valley of the Kings," in R. Sousa, A. Amenta, K. Cooney (eds.), Bab el-Gasus in Context: Rediscovering the Tomb of the Priests of Amun, Egitto Antico, Rome, 2021, pp. 69–79.

BIERBRIER 1975

M. Bierbrier, The Late New Kingdom in Egypt (c. 1300-664 B.C.): A Genealogical and Chronological Investigation, Warminster, 1975.

Broekman 2000

G. Broekman, "On the Chronology and Genealogy of the Second, Third and Fourth Prophets of Amun in Thebes during the Twenty-First Dynasty in Egypt," *GM* 174, 2000, pp. 25–36.

Broekman 2010

G. Broekman, "The Leading Theban Priests of Amun and Their Families under Libyan Rule," *JEA* 96, 2010, pp. 125–148.

Broekman 2018

G. Broekman, "The 21st Dynasty: The Theocracy of Amun, and the Position of the Theban Priestly Families," in L. Weiss (ed.), The Coffins of the Priests of Amun: Egyptian Coffins from the 21st Dynasty in the Collection of the National Museum of Antiquities in Leiden, PALMA-Eg 17, Leiden, 2018, pp. 13–19.

CAVILLIER 2021

G. Cavillier, "Bab el-Maâleg Tomb: Considerations and Perspectives about a Hypothetic Royal Cache," in R. Sousa, A. Amenta, K. Cooney (eds.), Bab el-Gasus in Context: Rediscovering the Tomb of the Priests of Amun, Egitto Antico, Rome, 2021, pp. 81–90.

ČERNÝ, GROLL 1975 (ed. 1993)

J. Černý, S. Groll, *A Late Egyptian Grammar* (1975), StudPoh 4, Rome, 1993 (4th ed.).

CHASSINAT 1909

- É. Chassinat, *La seconde trouvaille de Deir el-Bahari* (sarcophages). N^{DS} 6001–6029, CGC, Cairo, 1909. CLOONAN 2006
- M. Cloonan, "Alice Millard and the Gospel of Beauty and Taste," in J. Dankey, W. Wiegand (eds.), Women in Print: Essays on the Print Culture of American Women from the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries, Madison, 2006, pp. 159–178.

Cooney 2018

K. Cooney, "Coffin Reuse in the 21st Dynasty: A Case Study of the Coffins in the Rijksmuseum van Oudheden," in L. Weiss (ed.), The Coffins of the Priests of Amun: Egyptian Coffins from the 21st Dynasty in the Collection of the National Museum of Antiquities in Leiden, PALMA-Eg 17, Leiden, 2018, pp. 69–95.

COONEY 2019

K. Cooney, "Coffin Reuse in the 21st Dynasty: A Case Study of the Bab el-Gasus Coffins in the Egyptian Museum of Florence," in R. Sousa (ed.), The Tomb of the Priests of Amun: Burial Assemblages in the Egyptian Museum of Florence, CHANE 97, Leiden, 2019, pp. 492–510.

COONEY 2021

K. Cooney, "The Bab el-Gasus Coffin Cache and Patterns of Reuse," in R. Sousa, A. Amenta, K. Cooney (eds.), Bab el-Gasus in Context: Rediscovering the Tomb of the Priests of Amun, Egitto Antico, Rome, 2021, pp. 109–136.

Daressy 1907

G. Daressy, "Les cercueils des prètres d'Ammon," *ASAE* 8, 1907, pp. 3–38.

Dautant 2016

A. Dautant, "The Story of the Discovery," in C. Sheikholeslami (ed.), *Forgotten Discovery: Tomb of the Priests and Priestesses of Amun*, Cairo, 2016, pp. 6–9.

ERMAN 1933

A. Erman, *Neuägyptische Grammatik*, Leipzig, 1933 (2nd ed.).

GILL 2023

A. Gill. "The Funerary Papyrus of Paanufa in the Huntington Library, California (HM 46671)," *RdE* 73, 2023, pp. 19–49.

Grallert 2007

S. Grallert, "Pharaonic Building Inscriptions and Temple Decoration," in P. Dorman, B. Bryan (eds.), *Sacred Space and Sacred Function in Ancient Thebes*, SAOC 61, Chicago, 2007, pp. 35–50.

GRATIEN 1991

B. Gratien, Prosopographie des Nubiens et des Égyptiens en Nubie avant le Nouvel Empire, CRIPEL-Suppl. 3, Lille, 1991.

Haring 1998

B. Haring, "Temple or Domain? Critical Remarks on the Expression *pr Imn* in New Kingdom Administrative Texts," in C. Eyre (ed.), *Proceedings of the Seventh International Congress* of Egyptologists, Cambridge, 3-9 September 1995, Leuven, 1998, pp. 539–545.

Hellum 2020

J. Hellum, "The Questions of the Maidservant and the Concubine: Re-Examining Egyptian Female Lexicology," in A. Warfe, J. Gill, C. Hamilton, A. Pettman, D. Steward (eds.), *Dust, Demons* and Pots: Studies in Honour of Colin A. Hope, OLA 289, Leuven, 2020, pp. 269–278.

Jansen-Winkeln 2007

K. Jansen-Winkeln, *Inschriften der Spätzeit*, Teil I: *Die 21. Dynastie*, Wiesbaden, 2007.

JIMÉNEZ-HIGUERAS 2022

Á. Jiménez-Higueras, A Prosopographic Study of the New Kingdom Tomb Owners of Dra Abu el Naga, ArchaeoEg 43, Oxford, 2022.

Junge 2001

F. Junge, *Late Egyptian Grammar: An Introduction*, Wiesbaden, 2001.

Kaczanowicz 2020

M. Kaczanowicz, Old Tombs, New Tenants: Third Intermediate Period and Late Period Reuse of Theban Tombs, PhD, Poznań, 2020.

KAMRIN 2020

J. Kamrin, "The 'Tomb of the Princesses' (MMA 60) at Deir el-Bahri: An Overview," in J. Kamrin, M. Bárta, S. Ikram, M. Lehner, M. Megahed (eds.), Guardian of Ancient Egypt: Studies in Honor of Zahi Hawass, Prague, 2020, vol. II, pp. 793–829.

LENZO 2021

G. Lenzo, "An Overview of the Funerary Papyri from Bab el-Gasus," in Sousa, Amenta, Cooney (eds.) 2021, pp. 211–234.

LENZO 2023

G. Lenzo, "The Book of the Dead in the Third Intermediate Period," in R. Lucarell, M. Stadler (eds.), The Oxford Handbook of the Egyptian Book of the Dead, Oxford, 2023, pp. 76–115.

Lieblein 1892

J. Lieblein, *Dictionnaire de noms hiéroglyphiques : en ordre généalogique et alphabétique*, Leipzig, 1892.

Niwiński 1988

A. Niwiński, 21st Dynasty Coffins from Thebes: Chronological and Typological Studies, Theben 5, Mainz, 1988.

Niwiński 1989

A. Niwiński, Studies on the Illustrated Theban Funerary Papyri of the 11th and 10th Centuries B.C., OBO 86, Fribourg, 1989.

Niwiński 2016

A. Niwiński, "The Funerary Papyri from the Bab el-Gusus Tomb," *BEM* 8, 2016, pp. 1–25.

Onstine 2005

S. Onstine, *The Role of the Chantress (*Šm 'yt) *in Ancient Egypt*, BAR-IS 1401, Oxford, 2005.

PEUST 1999

C. Peust, Egyptian Phonology: An Introduction to the Phonology of a Dead Language, MÄS 2, Göttingen, 1999.

Polis 2023

S. Polis, "Trouver de l'argent et de l'or pour Masaharta: un ostracon de la Vallée des rois de la 21^e dynastie," *BSEG* 33, 2023, pp. 69–88.

Quirke 2019

S. Quirke, "Identity for Eternity: Managerial and Ritual Roles in Titles on Third Intermediate Period Afterlife Papyri," in M. Mosher (ed.), *Essays on Books of the Dead and Related Topics*, Book of the Dead, Saite through Ptolemaic Periods, Prescott, 2019, pp. 495–517.

RANKE 1935

H. Ranke, *Die ägyptischen Personennamen*, Band I: *Verzeichnis der Namen*, Glückstadt, 1935.

RANKE 1952

H. Ranke, Die ägyptischen Personennamen, Band II: Einleitung, Form und Inhalt der Namen, Geschichte der Namen, Vergleiche mit andren Namen, Nachträge und Zusätze zu Band I. Umschreibungslisten, Glückstadt, 1952.

SADEK 1985

A. Sadek, Contribution à l'étude de l'Amdouat. Les variantes tardives du Livre d'Amdouat dans les papyrus du Musée du Caire, OBO 65, Fribourg, 1985.

SCALF forthcoming

F. Scalf, "Hornebkhaset or Hemnetjerhornebkhaset? Revisiting the Name of the Owner of Statue BM EA 29478," *RdE* (forth. pending review).

Sousa 2018

R. Sousa, "The Tomb of the Priests of Amun at Thebes: The History of the Find," in L. Weiss (ed.), The Coffins of the Priests of Amun: Egyptian Coffins from the 21st Dynasty in the Collection of the National Museum of Antiquities in Leiden, PALMA-Eg 17, Leiden, 2018, pp. 21–33.

Sousa 2021

R. Sousa, "The Tomb of the Priests of Amun and the 'Restoration' of the Theban Necropolis," in Sousa, Amenta, Cooney (eds.) 2021, pp. 137–165.

Sousa, Amenta, Cooney 2021

R. Sousa, A. Amenta, K. Cooney, "Introduction: Remembering the Tomb of the Priests of Amun," in Sousa, Amenta, Cooney (eds.) 2021, pp. 11–30.

Sousa, Amenta, Cooney (eds.) 2021

R. Sousa, A. Amenta, and K. Cooney (eds.), Bab el-Gasus in Context: Rediscovering the Tomb of the Priests of Amun, Egitto Antico, Rome, 2021

STEVENS 2018

M. Stevens, Shaping Identities in the Context of Crisis: The Social Self Reflected in 21st Dynasty Funerary Papyri, PhD Dissertation, Los Angeles, 2018.

STEVENS 2019

M. Stevens, "Illustrations of Temple Rank on 21st Dynasty Funerary Papyri," in M. Hlouchová, D. Belohoubková, J. Honzl, V. Nováková (eds.), Current Research in Egyptology 2018: Proceedings of the Nineteenth Annual Symposium, Czech Institute of Egyptology, Faculty of Arts, Charles University, Prague, 25–28 June 2018, Access Archaeology, Oxford, 2019, pp. 162–228.

SWART 2004

L. Swart, A Stylistic Comparison of Selected Visual Representations on Egyptian Funerary Papyri of the 21st Dynasty and Wooden Funerary Stelae of the 22nd Dynasty (c. 1069–715 B.C.E.), PhD Dissertation, Stellenbosch, 2004.

Ullmann 2016

M. Ullmann, "The Temples of Millions of Years at Western Thebes," in R. Wilkinson, K. Weeks (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of the Valley of the Kings*, Oxford, 2016, pp. 417–432.

VALBELLE 1972

D. Valbelle, *Ouchebtis de Deir el-Médineh*, DFIFAO 15, Cairo, 1972.

Ward 1986

W. Ward, Essays on Feminine Titles of the Middle Kingdom and Related Subjects, Beirut, 1986.

Weightman, Thomson 2016

B. Weightman, J. Thomson, "The Heart Scarab of Nespaherentahat," *GM* 249, 2016, pp. 165–169.

Wüthrich 2015

A. Wüthrich, Édition synoptique et traduction des chapitres supplémentaires du Livre des Morts 162 à 167, SAT 19, Wiesbaden, 2015.

Zarli 2019

M. Zarli, "The Reconstruction of the Burial Assemblages from Lot V," in R. Sousa (ed.), *The Tomb of the Priests of Amun: Burial Assemblages in the Egyptian Museum of Florence*, CHANE 97, Leiden, 2019, pp. 479–491.