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Résumé

Publication de dix ostraca coptes par un groupe d’étudiants égyptiens sous la direction des auteurs. Ils comprennent trois exercices de piété, quatre lettres, deux textes juridiques et un compte. Ils proviennent, à une ou deux exceptions près, de la région thébaine.

Mots-clés : anthroponymes, Bible, copte, formules épistolaires, formules juridiques.

Abstract

Publication of ten Coptic ostraca by a group of Egyptian students under the direction of the authors. They include three devotional exercises, four letters, two legal texts and one accounting document. They originate, except for one or two, from the Theban region.

Keywords: anthroponyms, Bible, Coptic language, letter-writing formulas, juridical formulas.
INTRODUCTION

Anne Boud’hors, Esther Garel

This article is the result of an initiation workshop to the edition of Coptic ostraca that was held in January 2017 at the Ifao and aimed at training ten Egyptian students.\(^1\) Besides theoretical lectures given in the morning, each participant received an unpublished ostracon to be studied and edited, a task which could be continued over the following months thanks to digital images. The IFAO collection was particularly suitable for this experience, as it includes, besides hundreds of unpublished ostraca (usually very fragmentary), some nice pieces identified by Chantal Heurtel during her different missions.\(^2\) It also provides a good sample of various texts, as we will see hereafter. Since the ostraca from Baouit and Edfou have already been published,\(^3\) it is very tempting to suppose that those remaining come from the Theban area, the primary producer of such texts. For some of them, there is no doubt as they bear a number and indications linking them to the excavations carried out by Bernard Bruyère at Deir el-Medina.\(^4\) Others call for caution, as the circumstances that brought them to the IFAO are often unknown. Some may have been bought, but such information is rarely mentioned. Even in such cases, the Theban region remains the most probable origin, although the resemblance between ostraca from Thebes and those from Edfou can be misleading.

The group of ten ostraca published below starts with three exercises of piety, probably connected with monastic life: once again they show how Biblical wisdom books were important to the piety of the monks. Next come four letters that are most probably Theban considering their content and the formulas used therein. Actually, rather than letters, those texts are emergency messages, lacking any polite form of address. The majority are difficult to elucidate in detail and reflect the day-to-day concerns of the local inhabitants, monks, clerics and lay people to get food supplies and various items. Finally, two legal texts are presented that can be identified and partially reconstructed despite their fragmentary state, thanks to usual known formulas. The last text is a kind of account, written in a dialect (Fayyumic) that contradicts a Theban origin.

The type of pottery used for the writing also varies greatly. Sylvie Marchand, who is responsible for the ceramic studies at the IFAO, spent some time with the participants, to familiarize them with the terminology and give them information on their respective ostracon; this was a precious aid for which we would like to thank her.

Ostraca bear a main inventory number “OC” (ostracon copte). In a previous inventory, a “C” number was also indicated. However, the receivership number figuring on numerous items is not mentioned here.\(^5\)

\(^{1}\) Workshop mentored by Anne Boud’hors, Esther Garel and Chantal Heurtel, in the frame of a “specific action” financed by the IFAO.

\(^{2}\) Among which OC 131, 216, 256, 317 have been published in Heurtel 2017, OC 244 and 277 in Heurtel 2018.

\(^{3}\) O.BawitIfao et O.EdfouCopte.

\(^{4}\) That is the case with the limestones, see O. Theb.Ifao, but also with some potsherds.

\(^{5}\) Some information on the ostraca is available online at: http://www.ifao.egnet.net/bases/archives/ostraca/.
I. PSALMIC CONCORDANCE FOR THE WORD “PRINCE”

Adel Rashed

Ifao, Inv. OC 181 (C 1851)  
10 × 10.5 cm  
Provenance unknown  
8th cent.

Following a pattern well attested in Coptic ostraca (see *O. EdfouCopte* 3-6, *O. CrumST* 7 & 10, *O. Mon.Epiph.* 16)⁶ this small text is a kind of concordance of the word ⲧⲣⲟⲩⲧ in the Psalms. Some mistakes or repetitions in the quotations show that it was a matter of personal practice, perhaps a way of simultaneously meditating and exercising scribal skill.

The ostracon bears only a small sample of the occurrences of the word ⲧⲣⲟⲩⲧ in the Psalms, since there are more than 20 attestations contained in 18 Psalms.⁷

---

⁶ On Psalm quotations in ostraca see Delattre 2012, Heurtel 2017 (no. 3 in her publication is another example of a concordance), and Delattre forthcoming.

The text is written on a Marl pottery, with a yellow-brown surface. The bottom part of the ostracon was probably broken. The script is globally bilinear, sloping, and rather skilled. It is thicker in the three central lines of the text, which may be due to the reed.

\[\begin{align*}
\text{traces} & \\
\text{Anarakxon } & \text{Eneqy } \\
\text{Anarakxon } & \text{Pnwt Enxin-} \\
\text{xh } & \text{Anarakxon } \text{Asmoc} \\
\text{Asmoc } & \text{Aywaxe} \\
\text{& } & \text{Mpi⇒aste } \text{Apxon Ouαe } [\text{eωh-}] \\
\text{Pı } & \text{Nuβme } & \text{Ω�arxon} \\
\text{Ω�arxon} & \\
\text{[ --- ] traces} & \\
\end{align*}\]

1. The ink of this line is very faded, and it is difficult to determine if it has been erased. One is tempted to read Αρξων again, but it is very uncertain.

2-3. The rulers gathered themselves, they came to the same place: Ps. 2,2 (the Coptic version does not have Αγεί).

4-5. The rulers persecuted (me) without a cause: Ps. 118,161 (the Coptic version gives Νωη after Πνωτ, see Budge 1898, p. 134).

5-6. The rulers they sat. They sat (and) spoke: cf. Ps. 118,23 (Anarakxon 2mooc Aywaxe eπoί).

There was enough space to write eπoί, but no trace can be seen.

7-8. Trust not in rulers nor in the children of men: Ps. 145,3. This quotation is isolated by the use of έ at the beginning and at the end.

8-9. The reason for the repetition of ΩΩαξων here is not clear.

10. The rulers, they spoke.
2. **PSALMIC PRAYER**

Girgis Ibrahim

Ifoa, Inv. OC 91 (C 1907) 
13.5 ×13 cm  
Provenance unknown 
7th-8th cent.

The text seems to be composed of short quotations from the Psalms. Even though some sentences could not be identified as coming from the Psalms, their meaning is consistent with the general theme of the text, that is to say, salvation from sin. It may be interpreted as a personal prayer. Such compositions are well attested in the Theban region, as well as in Edfu (see *O. Edfou Copte* 3-6). Given the non-typical nature of the pottery, it is difficult to propose a provenance.

Fragment of an African “bag-shaped” amphora (Upper-Egyptian production), with three horizontal broad incisions and decoration at the upper part. Upper and right margins are preserved. The script is majuscule and slightly sloping; Ⲱ has a minuscule form, as well as one of the Ⲯ (l. 6); ⲽ is big; ⲹ has a kind of serif at the bottom part. The end of line 3 is filled with vertical strokes.

---

On the so-called “Psalmic compositions”, see Delattre 2012; Delattre forthcoming.
[---] χοεις, ντοκ πε παλονος
[---] ΝΣΑ ΠΛΩ ΜΠΡΤΑΜΟΥ
[---] ΜΑ
[---] ΑΜ ΑΓΕΝΗΣ ΜΠΡΚΑΛΤ ΝΙΚΟΚ ΧΕ
5[---] ματαλαο] ΝΤΑΨΥΧΗ ΧΕ ΔΙΡ ΝΟΒΕ ΕΡΟΚ
[---] ΜΠΕΚΜΤΟ ΕΒΟΛ ΔΥΩ ΜΝ ΚΕΜΑ Μ
[---] χοεις ΠΧΟΕΙΣ ΝΑΣΜΕΤ
[---] ουον

1 βοηθός || 4 άσθενης || 5 ψυχή

[…] Lord, ??, You are my strength (Ps. 39,18 [Budge 39,17], ou Ps. 70,7)
[…] to my cry, don’t let me die!
[…] …
[…] I am weak (Ps. 6,3 [Budge 6,2], don’t abandon me because (Ps. 70,9-10)
5 […] Heal my soul for I sinned against You! (Ps. 40,5 [Budge 40,4])
[…] before you (passim in the Ps.) and there is no other place of
[…] Lord. Lord, save me! (Ps. 139,2 [Budge 139,1])
[…] …

7-8 Between these two lines, traces of two letters written one above the other can be seen
but not interpreted.

3. EXERCISE WITH BIBLICAL CITATIONS

Youhanna Reda Elia Matta

Ifao, Inv. OC 99 (= C 2014) [FIGS. 3-4]
11 × 8.2 cm
Provenance unknown
Imported amphora
7th-8th cent.

Potsherds served many uses in daily life, serving as a cheap writing material when a more
expensive one (papyrus) was not needed. In the case of this ostracon, it seems that we are
dealing with a draft, possibly written by a monk, as is suggested by the three unrelated texts
that it contains. The main text, on the recto, is a citation from the canonical Book of Proverbs
of Solomon (27,5-6).9 We can easily assume that the scribe was reciting the biblical verses from
memory, not copying them from a book in his hands, as we can observe some orthographic
variations not attested in any published version of the canonical Book.

9 Which have been already published in many publications in different attested versions (see Ciasca 1889, p. 187;
Worrell 1931, p. 93)
It is impossible to be sure why this particular verse was chosen: was the scribe of this ostracon experiencing a similar experience of dishonesty or betrayal from someone who showed him kindness, and consoling himself with the biblical verses? Or simply reciting the biblical verses as a mean of retaining them in his heart and mind?  

After this quotation, the scribe started writing a sentence that sounds like an introduction of himself, “I am the miserable…”, but he stopped without giving his name. Then, after a space, two lines are written in a smaller script at the bottom of the ostracon. It is the beginning of an epistolary formula, where the name of the sender is Souai. If the script is the same as in the main part (see below), Souai may be the name of the scribe. Finally, the same scribe probably used the verso of the ostracon to start writing a Psalm verse, again interrupted. All these texts show that the scribe of the ostracon was simply using it as a draft or a personal notebook where he could freely express what was on his mind. 

The ostracon bears writing on both sides. There are traces of water damage on the recto. The script is globally bilinear, even though some letters are bigger (ⲃ, ⲥ); ⲱ has the shape of a “h”; there are some ligatures (ⲇⲕ in l. 8). The use of superlinear strokes is not consistent (note the two long strokes on recto l. 5 and verso l. 1: maybe an “essai de plume”? ). Even though the script of the last two lines on the recto and of the verso looks different from the main script, it could well be the same hand (ⲧ, Ⲩ and ⲧ have the same shape).

---

10 As the simple expression of keeping the Lord’s commandment in Deuteronomy 11, 18–20: “You shall take these words of mine to heart and keep them in mind… Write them up on the door-posts of your houses and on your gates.”
Fig. 4. IFAO, Inv. OC 99 (= C 2014) verso.
(Recto) + Better is the open rebuke than a secret (silent) friendship. Better are the wounds of a friend than the kiss of an enemy (Prov. 27, 5-6).
I am the humble one…
(10) I Souai, I write and greet…

(Verso) + God and the Lord called the earth from the…

Recto
2 {ⲛⲃⲱⲱⲓⲟ: ϩⲃⲱⲱⲓⲟ} Worrell 1931, p. 93; Ciasca 1889, p. 187; Greek ἔλεγχοι (without article).
10 the ⲛ before ⲛⲇⲣⲟ maybe by confusion with the word ⲛⲇⲣⲟⲩ as it is repeated in the first two previous sentences of the biblical quotation).

Verso
Free and incomplete quotation from Ps. 49,1: πνοούτε πνοούτε πνοεῖς λειμάξε λαῷ ἀναμούτε ἐπίκας ἑβολ 2η Μ￥ά ηα αηα πηαα ηηα ηηα αηηη, The God of gods, the Lord, has spoken, and called the earth from the rising of the sun to the going down thereof.

4. MESSAGE REGARDING A CHURCH VESSEL
Christine Ayad

Ifao, OC 252 (C 1906) [FIG. 5]
11 × 11 cm
Western Thebes
Late Roman Amphora 7
7th cent.

The text is a letter from a certain Petros to an unknown recipient. Petros is somewhere in Western Thebes, and his “father” sent him to Ape regarding the matter of the church vessel of Apa Mena. Petros writes to settle a meeting between him and the addressee. The message is very brief (there are no greetings), and the difficult construction in line 7 makes it somewhat unclear.

The letter shows three points of interest. The first one is that the letter mentions Ape (= Louxor), which ensures the Theban provenance of the ostracon. Second, the ostracon mentions a church of Apa Mena, thus new evidence for his cult in Ape. Third, the text provides a new attestation of the word ⲛⲓⲃⲓⲓⲟⲛ, which most probably means “church vessel”. Another comparable letter is P. Mon.Epiph. 282, where the sender Ananias writes to some priests asking them to hurry regarding the pay for church vessels.
The handwriting is a skilled sloping majuscule and could be assigned to the 7th century. The \( \text{ⲙ} \) has two shapes. Besides the usual place of the superlinear stroke, the scribe put such a stroke on the final consonant of some words.

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{† άνοκ πετρος} \\
\text{ἐπείδη ἀπεκώ} \\
\text{χοούτ ἐλε ανε ρογασ} \\
\text{ςα πνωμ ἀποκυμηανε} \\
\text{ον ἡπα μηνας ἐεις} \\
\text{πτωμα ςυταμι εεωο} \\
\text{χε εςα ἐθε πνι εγχμ} \\
\text{τενο α κογω α εει} \\
\text{ἐνχιν αμου ἐκουφω} \\
\text{ον ἄται εχο} \\
\text{ους ἄτα} \\
\text{ει} \\
\end{align*} \]

2 ἐπείδη || 4-5 κειμήλιον || 7 l. εγγυμαζε

**Fig. 5.** Ifao, OC 252 (C 1906).
I am Petros. My father sent me to Ape in the evening regarding the matter of the church vessel (s) of Apa Menas. Here is the order that was given to me: “Write whatever manner they want.” Now (if) you want to come to the north, come. And (if) you prefer (to) me to come, send (for me) and I will come.

3 Ἀπε See Timm vol. 1, p. 133.

4 κυμηλιον This term borrowed from the Greek κειμήλιον (also κοιμηλιον, cf. Förster, WB, p. 400) designates a church vessel; see Muyser 1937. Such objects have been preserved, for example a silver chalice that has an inscription on its head stating that it is a sacred vessel (κυμηλιον) dedicated to a certain church in a Fayoum village (Louvre Inv. OA 11311; cf. Bénazeth, Rutschowscaya 2000, p. 181). An article gathering all the new attestations of this word is under preparation by A. Boud’hors.

5 Ἀπα Μηνας The text doesn’t specify the type of religious institution (church, sanctuary, monastery). A. Papaconstantinou points out Thebes in general as a cult center for Apa Menas, mentioning in particular Jeme, the mountain of Jeme and Arment, but not Ape (Papaconstantinou 2001, p. 161). Four documents from Thebes in relation to a cult of Apa Menas can be identified. O. Crum 45 is a letter addressed to Apa Abraham about the appointment of a deacon to the service of a church of Apa Menas. In P. KRU 75, a monk and priest of the topos of Apa Menas on the mountain of Jeme acted as a scribe for the will of Jacob and Elias, the heads of the monastery of Epiphanius. O. Vind.Copt. 294 is another letter from Jeme mentioning a steward (oikonomos) of Apa Menas. Lastly a witness of P. CLT 9 is priest of “saint Apa Menas” (this information was gathered from the Cult of Saints in Late Antiquity database). Furthermore, two recently published ostraca from the mountain of Arment mention a (topos) of Apa Menas (O. Lips.Copt. II 10 et 11, see Krueger 2019).

7 σαλι εοε ινιμ εγκυιος This sentence poses two difficulties. First the translation of the verb σαλι, employed here in an absolute construction: does it simply mean “write”? Or could it mean “make the inscription”, referring to an inscribed chalice like the object from the Louvre? Besides, the use of the definite article (εοε) prevents us from understanding ινιμ as an indefinite pronoun. One of the possibilities is to understand ινιμ as an interrogative, and to interpret the whole sentence, with an anacoluthon, as “write the manner: which one do they want?”. However, the sentence remains too allusive to fully understand what is at stake.

11 http://csla.history.ox.ac.uk/
5. **LETTER FROM A SICK MAN**

Hagar Saad Eldien Mostafa Saad

Ifao, Inv. OC 275 (C 1917)  
16 × 10 × 1.2 cm  
Theban region  
Late Roman Amphora 7  
7th–8th century  

This letter is addressed by Antonios to Petros. The lack of greetings at the beginning may indicate that the sender was irritated, or in a hurry, or simply ill, as he says on l. 6. He is asking for money (l. 5), perhaps to buy a drug. However, as is usual in this kind of text, the details of his request remain obscure. Ll. 11–12 could concern salt that had dried (and thus become useless?). Various sorts of salt were used in recipes.  

Even though the provenance of the ostracon is unknown, the type of pottery, as well as the form $\text{ⲙⲉⲓ-}$ for the Negative Perfect (l. 6 and l. 10, instead of $\text{ⲙⲡⲉⲓ-}$), point to the Theban region.

The text is almost complete, except for some letters on the left side. From l. 8 till l. 13, there are probably between two and four letters missing at the beginning. The script, a slightly sloping bilinear majuscule, looks quite skilled, although irregular and loose. There are some ligatures ($\text{ⲧⲓ}$, $\text{ⲥⲓ}$, $\text{ⲧⲓ}$, $\text{ⲟⲩ}$, $\text{ⲧ}$) is short. The language is standard Sahidic, except for some peculiar spellings ($\text{ⲁⲛⲇⲟⲛⲓⲟⲥ}$ and $\text{ⲁⲛⲇⲟⲛⲓⲟⲥ}$ for $\text{ⲁⲧⲛⲟⲛⲓⲟⲥ}$, $\text{ⲧⲓ-}$ for $\text{ϭⲛ-}$ on l. 10 and probably 7), and Negative First Perfect $\text{ⲙⲉ-}$.

---

12. See Till 1951, p. 91 (no. 132).
13. See O. Frangé, introduction, p. 31; Boud’hors 2018a, pp. 294–295. For another new attestation of this form, see text 7 in this article.
†
ἀΝΟΚ ἈΝΔΩΝΙΟϹ
ἐτσαὶ ἅψε-
τροϲ ἅ Ὠϲ ωοὐ ὦ υν
5 ἄγεννοου υνομμτ
[θ] αν ω εἰσων ἤμι-
[γν] ωνούμες ηταξού
[2+?] ἐροὶ εἰσῳ κναφ ναι
[2+?] τννοου χαι ναι
10 [2+?]χοιει ε ω εἰσων ωγ-
[1+? η]ταβοκε εςμου
[2+?] ευβοϲτ ὦτει-
[2ε ωγ]χαι 2μ πχοιει
[τα]ες ηπετροϲ
15 [21]τν ἄναωνιοϲ
πεντομήρα

4 οὖν || 7 νομματος || 9 τννοου σοτ. εκ τννου || 11 εςμου σοτ. εκ ευμ ωγ? || 12 βοϲτ σοτ. εκ ωοογε
It is me Antonios who is writing to Petros. Please, then, (5) send me the money, for I am sick and I did not find a nummus to spend for me. Since you are going to give me [...] [5] send me mine [... my] lord, for I did not find [...] to add to the salt [...] it is dried out in this [way].

Hail in the Lord! To give to Petros (15) from Antonios your son.

7 ΝΟΥΜΕΣ ΝΟΜΙΜΟΣ generally refers to a coin of small value. Till 1946, relying on a passage of Chassinat 1921, no. 93, proposed that the word could have the meaning of “tablet”, perhaps by analogy with the round form of a coin. As we are in a context of illness, this meaning should perhaps be considered here. Nevertheless, since copper coins are mentioned on line 5, money remains plausible.

8 [ἐβολ] ἐρῶ is a plausible reconstruction for the beginning of the line.

8-9 If ἔτιονογ is an Imperative with an apodotic function, a negation ἀν may be required in the lacuna: “since/if you are not going to give me [?], send me mine”, but what does “mine” refer to?

10 ΠΙΧΟΕΙΣ can be proposed for the beginning; but it remains uncertain whether or not there was something else.

11 A feminine word is expected in the lacuna, because of the feminine suffix in ὁξες, unless this suffix has a neutral function here: perhaps only οὖν, as the end of οὔ[ον?]

12 Why did the scribe correct ὅοογε into ὅοςτι? The former is indeed frequent, and occurs, among many other contexts, in several recipes (drying out was part of the process); ὅοςτι is much rarer, but is attested in a Theban collection of recipes for preparing parchment (Crum 1905); these two leaves of papyrus are part of P.Bodmer 58, which has been recognized as a Theban manuscript: see Boud’hors 2018b): in this case, as in our text, it may be a more technical or pejorative word to indicate that something (parchment, salt or salt-composed product) is so dry that it cannot be used.

6. LETTER REQUESTING LENTILS

Ahmed Nakshara

Ifao, Inv. OC 104 (C 1916) [FIG. 7]
10 × 9.5 cm
Theban region
7th cent.

The letter is addressed by a certain Psmoei to a deacon. First announcing the repayment of a due tremissis, Psmoei then asks his correspondent to send him a measure of lentils. No other clue is given in order to identify the correspondents or their locations. All that is known about the origin of the ostracon is that Bernard Bruyère either found it during his excavations on the western bank of Thebes or bought it from an Egyptian dealer between 1922 and 1951.
There are, however, indications of a 7th century Theban origin. The mention of a certain Psmou, who is involved in a matter of money—one *tremissis*—in *P. Mon.Epiph.* 288,14 in addition to the regular mentioning of *Psmou* in the correspondence of apa Pisentius15 concerning the marriage of Psmou’s daughter. Another issue that reinforces this hypothesis is the use of the mere title (deacon) to refer to the addressee. This usage raises the question about the possibility of the existence of just one deacon in the sender’s zone that makes him famous enough to be addressed only by his title.

Fragment of a red Pseudo-Aswanese Late Roman Amphora. The letter is written on the recto only. The script is a skilled sloping majuscule, the size of the letters being somewhat irregular; note the ligature *ⲁⲕ* in *ⲛⲕ* (l. 5, l. 7).

Non-standard Sahidic forms are *ⲁⲕⲓⲡⲉ* (for *ⲟⲓⲡⲉ*), typical for Southern texts, *ⲑϩ, ϭⲟ*—instead of *ϭⲱ, ϭⲛ*—(see Crum, Winlock 1926, p. 243), and the doubling of *ⲓ* in *ⲓⲝⲣⲟⲩⲓⲧ̄*.
Psmei, I am greeting my brother the deacon. Do not blame (me) for the tremissis, I will not delay sending it to you. Now, please give the measure (oipe) of lentils to the man who will bring you this ostracon, according to your own measure (maje). Be so kind, do not abstain from giving the measure (oipe) of lentils to him, because the travel is difficult. Give it to the deacon from Psmei.

This introductory formula is problematic in two respects: first, the lack of a cross at the beginning, second the construction <name + εἰμί> (one expects <name + ετώμι> or <ἈΝΟΚ + name + εἰμί>). It might be reasonable to assume that the first line, with ἄνοκ, has disappeared. Another issue is the form of the name Psmei, not otherwise attested. Psmeis/γςμεις is a variant of Psmeioun, and one could be tempted to think that the scribe has simply repeated the syllable εἰ before εἰμί. However, the very end of the ostracon could well be reconstructed as νε[μ]ο[νε]ω[ι], although νε[μ]ο[νε]ω[ι] is not to be excluded. It is therefore difficult to decide. If the name of the sender is Psmei, is this another variant of Psmeioun?

Oγαινε ννηρυμίν οἰπε is a measure of grain smaller than the artab (ῬΤΩΒ: cf. Crum, Dict. 256a). Obviously, this measure was subject to local variations. This is probably why the sender asks the recipient to use his “own measure” (μαξε), which probably means that people had not completely standardized measurements for goods such as corn, lentils, etc.

Μηρκοῦ Νιοὺ For this typically Theban expression, see Crum, Dict. 601a.

Ευκακονος Ζοζε Τα<α>ς Κ Μ

This is a way to urge the recipient, as travels were always considered difficult and dangerous in this region.
7. **LETTER ABOUT SENDING VARIOUS GOODS**

Amr el-Sharkawy

Ifao, Inv. OC 270 (C 1879)  
17 × 11 cm  
Thebes³  
Pseudo-Aswanese pottery  
7th–8th cent.

The letter published here concerns the exchange of various commodities (crops, vegetables, dates, a type of leguminous plant called *arax*, oil). At least four individuals (sender, addressee, Elias, David) are involved in these exchanges. This text reflects the complexity of sending and receiving goods, a process which regularly takes the form of barter.

The letter bears no opening formulae or greetings, nor the names of either the sender or addressee. This indicates that the sender is either upset or in a hurry.

The word “ACHAT” can be read at the bottom of the sherd, indicating that it was bought on the antiquity market. However the text could be attributed to the Theban region on internal criteria (subject, phraseology), when compared to ostraca from other dossiers (*P. Mon.Epiph., O. Frangé*).

The sherd is complete. The writing is bilinear and upright, resembling a bookhand, which is confirmed by the use of diacritical signs (comma, semicolon). The text is written in standard Sahidic. Note the form of negative perfect *ⲙⲉϥⲧⲁⲁⲩ* (see text 5).
Since you left me, (saying) “I shall take the crops”, Elias laughed at me concerning the vegetables, he did not give them (s) to me. And as for a measure of dates that I found, may he send the measure of dates with the camel, in order that I may send the arax. And if (10) he does not send the dates, do not send a camel. Only when I give them to you, be so kind and take the oil at my brother David’s place and send it (15) to me. Hail in the Lord!

8. AGREEMENT

Hadeer Belal

Ifao, Inv. OC 248 (C 1875) [FIG. 9]

9 × 5 cm
Deir el-Medina

This ostracon provides the end of a legal deed, involving an agreement (l. 6 συμφωνον). This term most often designates a work contract, especially in texts from the Fayyum and Middle Egypt. Here the nature of the deed is not easily identified, since the document is designated through different words that don’t specify the type of transaction (ll. 1–2 εγγραφων, l. 10, 12 χαρτης). Furthermore, the fact that μισυμφωνον is a plural form seems to indicate that it doesn’t refer to the type of document, but rather to agreements that led to its drawing up.

On the back of the ostracon, the indication “N. E. T. 46” (= north east of the temple) shows that it comes from Bernard Bruyère’s excavations of the temple of Hathor at Deir el-Medina.
The text is written on a fragment of an imported African amphora (undetermined family), with a yellowish surface. The text is written on the recto, the upper and the right parts are missing. It is difficult to evaluate the loss in the first lines since the ostracon could have had an irregular shape. The script is majuscule, regular, slightly sloping, and very similar to well-known Theban hands from the 7th century, such as hand D;\textsuperscript{16} h has a minuscule shape.

\textsuperscript{16} See O. Crum, p. xv; Garel forthcoming.

\textbf{Fig. 9. IFAO, Inv. OC 248 (C 1875).}
10 πιναχτηκ λ[νοκ ± 7 ]
   τεστοικα ε optical[νιν ευχερ]
   επιναχτηκ --- ]
   'Εγγ(αφ)[ --- ]

2 ἡγηριαον || 7 σύμφωνον || 8 οὐγκία, πρόστιμον || 10 χάρτης || 11 στοιχεῖν || 12 χάρτης || εγγ ostr

[...] deed [...] on the twenty-[?] day [of the] month Paone [...] (5) David, the [...] of Jeme. Whoever will [...] these agreements [...] ounce of gold [as a fine], and he shall recognize [all] the things [written in] (10) this document [...] I, [...], I agree with everything written in this document. Written [...].

1-3 ε[γ]γ[ραφον ντε[--- ε]]φυ
   ντα[---] should be expected here, but is difficult to read ; in that case, we could supply ντα[ηηε mν ηεηε]φυ / ντα[γιηε mν ηεηε]φυ, understanding the verb as temporal perfect, or ντα[κακτι mν ηεηε]φυ / ντα[γκτι mν ηεηε]φυ. Both solutions seem a little long for the size of the lacuna.

4-6 κ[---] | ΔΔ[Φεια νοια[ --- ] | ηνηκμέ
   We could have here the mention of two officials from Jeme, David and somebody else in the presence of whom (l. 4-5 κ[αρσιν + 1st name] mν | ΔΔ[Φεια]) the contract was drawn up. However no known official titles begin with ηνα.

6-8 πετν[α --- ] | νικυμφων[ον --- ] | ορτα ννουβ [μποκτιμον] The penal clause, without having any exact parallels can be reconstructed as such on the base of similar documents :
   πετν[α[παρακ ντ] or πετν[αει εβο α] (see Richter 2008, pp. 196–197)
   νικυμφων[ον νντι/εκατι ννοι] (or another amount)
   ορτα ννουβ [μποκτιμον] (see P. KRU 21,70; 74,92).

8-9 νερων εωβ [νιν ευχερ ε]πιναχτηκ
   The word ναχτηκ, "papyrus", is used, although the text is written on a potsherd, which could indicate that it is a copy or a summary of a document written on papyrus. The same phenomenon is known from the archive of Abraham, bishop of Hermonthis (see Fournet 2018, p. 200).
9. **END OF A SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTE (?)**

Muhammad Fathy Muhammed

Ifao, Inv. OC 329 (C 827)  
16 × 16.5 cm  
Theban region
7th–8th cent.

The text preserved here is the end of a legal deed whose nature is not completely clear. However, the presence of certain words such as ḫ_middle of", “possess” (l. 7), τοι, “own” (ll. 11-12) suggest that the transaction involves a transfer of property. Furthermore, the use of the reciprocal pronoun Ṻ_middle of (l. 3) and the distributive πογα πογα (l. 4, l. 8?), as well as the use of an expression such as “according to what God/justice inspired to our heart” (ll. 8-9), are strong clues that we deal here with a settlement of a dispute concerning a property.

The document is written on a red ribbed pottery sherd (Pseudo-Aswanese type). The text is broken in its upper, left and right part; only the last three lines are complete, indicating that the upper part of the sherd must have been much broader. The script is bilinear and slightly sloping, without any ligatures. Supralinear strokes regularly take the form of a slanting stroke (cf. l. 6, l. 7, l. 12). The scribe spells Λογετ Ι, Λειτλα instead of the usual Λογετ I and Λειτλα. Note that the spelling peculiarity and mistakes are more numerous in the scribe’s signature.

![Fig. 10. Ifao, Inv. OC 329 (C 827).](image-url)
4 κατὰ || 6 παρά || 7 ἀεὶ τὸν εἰς τὸν βάθος || 8 πρὸς || 9 ἐν τῷ αἰῶνι || 13 διάκονος αἰτεῖν || 14 νοεῖν

 [...] they stopped and they [...] with each other [...] each of them according to [...] at the hour of [...] against/except the men [...] and they will possess [...] each of them according to what [...] our heart [...] son of Pashoumo and Ioannes son of Palite own them in this way. I, the deacon Victor, they asked me and I wrote because they don't know how to write, and I am witness.

2 [ --- ]γάλα vac. λ[ --- ]
A form of the verb λάλα, “to cease” (or “to be healed”), should be supplied here, probably λάγαλα, followed by another form of First Perfect after the short vacat.

5 [ --- ]νομον ναὶ[ --- ]
A word of the family of Δικαίων could be reconstructed after the break.

8-9 πρός πενθα[ --- ] || [ --- ]πενθὴ πε παι[ --- ]
We expect an expression such as “according to what God/justice inspired to our heart”. For a parallel see Heurtel 2013, p. 82; πε παι[ --- ] could be a mistake for the expression ετε παι πε which is rather expected here. See, however, another formulation in CPR IV 50,12 (Fayyumic): πετελ[ητε] επενθή πε πε.

10-11 ποιη παλαιομω [MN] || [ΙΩ(ζ)]δινής παιν[pai]πλιτε
The division of words between the two lines is not clear, given the irregular shape of the ostracon. Another solution would be ποιη παλαιομω [MN ΙΩ-] || [2]δινής παιν[pai]πλιτε.
It is the first attestation of the name παλαιομω, which should be identified as a new form of the name Pachom. The name πλιτε occurs in a funerary stela (SBKopt. I 748,5) without known provenance.
10. **ACCOUNT**

Fatma el-Gebali

Ifao, Inv. OC 192 (C 1897)  
$15 \times 9.5$ cm  
Fayyum ?  
7th–8th cent.

Given the layout of the text, which contains anthroponyms sometimes associated with numbers, it probably can be identified as an account, or a fiscal list, especially if the word $\textit{ⲗⲟⲅⲟⲥ}$ can be read on line 1 (see commentary). Names are generally followed by their patronyms. Some of them are familiar, others are not, but almost all have a Fayyumic colour, either from their spelling or because they are especially attested in the Fayyum. Moreover the mention of $\textit{ⲡⲓⲁⲩⲟⲥ}$ on line 11, be it a title (“deacon”) or, more likely, a proper name, definitely points to the Fayyumic dialect. This text is puzzling, since ostraca in Fayyumic are rare. There is no information about the provenance of the ostracon. However, the pottery itself is peculiar and does not resemble what is usually found in Thebes. It is thus tempting to suppose that the ostracon comes from the Fayyum (perhaps brought by Jouguet during his excavations at Medinet Ghoran?).

Fragment of an Aswanese red pot (sigillata, group 0), of a type in use from the Byzantine period onwards. Part of the basis is preserved, with a rib. The text is almost complete, except for some loss on the left side. The script is a small and upright majuscule; the upper part of $\textit{ⲁ}$ is sometimes open; the last line is written with bigger letters, but the hand could well be the same.

---

17 See Garel 2018.
18 Cf. O. Crum 500-510.
19 See Jouguet 1901.
Fig. 11. Ifao, Inv. OC 192 (C 1897).

† πλ,νοῦ τι κα ν
πάλεως βελε μνημ
παθλογ
μακαρι παλεογ

♀ νασαραγ υπογ
[.]ωριων πραγουν
[?]αεμιας
[μ]ακαρι πνας χ
μεσχο ευεμαφρι

καλα† ηαννον†
[?]ακαρι πακογ

+ ???
Paleou Bele Heou 1
Pahilou 1
Makari Paleou 1
Nahraou Apiou 1
Horion' Praou
Eremias
1. Perhaps read πα(γος) ν with a small ο under λ: “account of”, followed by the name of a village or an individual?

2. ΠΑΛΕΟΥ ΒΕΛΕ 2ΗΟΥ

ΒΕΛΕ: Greek Βελε is attested in Upper Egypt (TM 26935), but it could also be a variant form of ΠΑΛΑΕ, “the blind” (TM 27411). This is the only occasion in the text of somebody having three names: is it related to the fact that the following line has only one name? λ (“1”) is written on the base rib.

3. ΠΑΙΛΟΥ

This name is not otherwise attested.

4. ΝΑΓΡΑΟΥ ΑΠΙΟΥ

Is ΑΠΙΟΥ similar to the name ΑΠΙΟΥΝ (Ἀπίων) attested SBKopt. II 802, 38?

5. [.]ΩΡΙΩΝ, ΠΡΑΟΥ

The first name could be reconstructed [έ]ΩΡΙΩΝ (see NBKopt. s.v.). For a name ΠΡΑΟΥ, see SBKopt. II 825, 18-19.

6. [?]ΕΡΜΗΝ

There is a long stroke between lines 6 and 8, on which line 7 seems to have been written. In this second part of the text numbers no longer appear. Another possibility is that line 7 was crossed out, but the stroke goes until the end of the line and looks like a mark of division.

7. [?]ΕΡΜΗΝ

A name ΠΝΑΣ is attested in a Fayyumic document, BKU III 352, 2 and 11 (written ΠΝΑ). Traces of one letter are visible after the name, but the letter seems to have been washed out.

8. ΚΑΛΑΠΝΑΟΥ

The name ΚΑΛΑΠΝΑ could not be identified elsewhere. A Greek name Καλαπτιος is found in CPR I 4, a text from the Fayyum, dated to the 1st cent. CE, corrected in the more common name Κάλατοιος.

9. [.]ΑΚΟΥ ΠΙΑΚΟΥ

Is [.]ΑΚΟΥ a form of ΙΑΚΩΒ? The presence of a letter at the beginning of the line is doubtful.
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