



BULLETIN DE L'INSTITUT FRANÇAIS D'ARCHÉOLOGIE ORIENTALE

en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne

BIFAO 108 (2008), p. 83-94

Mahmoud Ebeid

Six Demotic Inscriptions on Stone from Tuna el-Gebel.

Conditions d'utilisation

L'utilisation du contenu de ce site est limitée à un usage personnel et non commercial. Toute autre utilisation du site et de son contenu est soumise à une autorisation préalable de l'éditeur (contact AT ifao.egnet.net). Le copyright est conservé par l'éditeur (Ifao).

Conditions of Use

You may use content in this website only for your personal, noncommercial use. Any further use of this website and its content is forbidden, unless you have obtained prior permission from the publisher (contact AT ifao.egnet.net). The copyright is retained by the publisher (Ifao).

Dernières publications

9782724711714	<i>La pensée et la pratique pharmacologiques d'Avicenne</i>	Sylvie Ayari
9782724711899	<i>BCAI 40</i>	
9782724711288	<i>Karnak-Nord XI</i>	Colin Hope
9782724711622	<i>BIFAO 126</i>	
9782724711059	<i>Les Inscriptions de visiteurs dans les Tombes thébaines</i>	Chloé Ragazzoli
9782724711455	<i>Les émotions dans l'Égypte Ancienne</i>	Rania Y. Merzeban (éd.), Marie-Lys Arnette (éd.), Dimitri Laboury, Cédric Larcher
9782724711639	<i>AnIsl 60</i>	
9782724711448	<i>Athribis XI</i>	Marcus Müller (éd.)

Six Demotic Inscriptions on Stone from Tuna el-Gebel

MAHMOUD EBEID

THE SIX demotic inscriptions published here are engraved on limestone objects,¹ or on limestone blocks² (nos 1, 2, 6) or stelae³ (nos 3, 4, 5). They were found in Tuna el-Gebel necropolis. The first two objects (nos 1, 2) were found during Cairo University excavations carried out by S. Gabra and others, while no. 3 was found during the excavations of the joint archaeological mission of Cairo and Munich Universities in season 2004. Nos 4, 5, 6 were found during the excavations of Munich University in seasons 1980 and 1983. The original place where nos 1, 2 (Inv. no. 1728/258, Inv. no. 1489/774, 29/s.s.) were found is unknown. According to the acronym *s. s* –“south-sector”– registered on the second object, they might have been found in the area of the funerary houses to the south of the tomb of Petosiris. They are kept now in el-Ashmonein magazine. As for TG 5275 (no. 3), it was found in a secondary Roman stone tomb (tomb no. 3) to the west of the wall of the tomb no. 1, and at the north eastern corner of the tomb of *Dd-Dhwtj iw=f'nh* on the eastern side of the galleries, and kept now in Tuna el-Gebel magazine.⁴ As for TG 433, TG 109 and TG 428 (nos 4, 5, 6), they were

I am grateful to Prof. Dr M.A.A Nur El-Din, Prof. Dr D. Kessler, the team work of the joint archaeological mission of Cairo and Munich Universities in Tuna el-Gebel situation, to Prof. Dr O. El-Aguizy, and to my colleague Dr M. S. Ali, for their help and encouragement. For the photographs I would like to thank Mr P. Brose (Munich University). My thanks are also due to Prof. Dr S. P. Vleeming who has read my

manuscript and presented some useful corrections, suggestions and comments that I have included in my article.

¹ For the demotic texts written on stone, see A. FARID, *Fünf demotische Stelen aus Berlin, Chicago, Durham, London, und Oxford, mit zwei demotischen Türinschriften aus Paris und einer Bibliographie der demotischen Inschriften*, II, Berlin, 1995, p. 199-223.

² For blocks of stone with demotic inscriptions, see A. FARID, *op. cit.*, p. 199-200.

³ For stone stelae with demotic inscriptions, see *ibid.*, p. 216-223.

⁴ M.A.A. NUR EL-DIN, D. KESSLER, *Excavations at Tuna el-Gebel 2004, Preliminary Report of the Joint Mission of the Universities of Cairo and Munich*, p. 5-6 (unpublished).

BIFAO 108 - 2008

found in the subterranean galleries (gallery C- C- 4) of the sacred animals (Ibiotapheion), and kept also in Tuna el-Gebel magazine.

Owing to the bad condition of these pieces, some strokes in the inscriptions could easily be confused during the preparation of the facsimiles with the natural faults of the stone surface.

I. Limestone block

Inv. No.: 1728/258.

Provenance: Tuna el-Gebel necropolis, area of the funerary houses (?).

Material: Limestone.


Dimensions: Height: 9 cm; Width: 25,5 cm; Thickness: 6 cm.

Bibliography: Unpublished.

Content: Votive inscription.⁵

Dating: Late Ptolemaic period or early Roman period according to the script, but the early Roman period is more plausible here on account of the place in which the block was found.

Description: Rectangular block of limestone with an engraved demotic text of four lines; the text on the block is in a good state of preservation.



1. Limestone block Inv. No. 1728/258.

[1] *n3 ntr.w p3 'wy-htp di.t 'nh n swrd (n) p3 hb*

[2] *P3-di-p3-hb s3 P3y-Wsîr (?) {p3} irm n3y=f rmt(.w) dr=w i.îr di.t îr=w*

[3] *p3 '(wy)-p(s>y m-b3h p3 hb Îs.t n-tr.t Dd-hr s3 Dhwtymn*

[4] *p3 w' b n Îy-m-htp wr s3 Pth*


⁵ For the demotic votive inscriptions written on various objects, see S.P. VLEEMING, *Some Coins of Artaxerxes and Other Short Texts in the Demotic*

Script Found on Various Objects and Gathered from Many Publications, Stud. Dem. 5, 2001, p. 11-243.


- [1] *The gods (of) the resting place give live to caretaker (of) the Ibis.*
 [2] *Pꜣ-dī-pꜣ-hb son of Pꜣy-Wsīr {the} and all his people who have let that*
 [3] *the bakery be made before the Ibis (and) Isis, by Dd-ḥr son of Dḥwtꜣ-mn*
 [4] *the priest of Iy-m-ḥtp, the Great, son of Ptah.*




Commentary

● Line 1

–  *pꜣ .wy-ḥtp*, [*pꜣ .wy n ḥtp*], *the resting place*, see W. Erichsen, *Demotisches Glossar*, Kopenhagen, 1954, p. 340; J. Ray, *The Archive of Ḥor*, *EES Texts* 2, 1976, p. 139-140; P. W. Pestman, *The Archive of the Theban Choachytes (Second Century B.C.). A Survey of the Demotic and Greek Papyri Contained in the Archive*, *Stud.Dem.* 2, 1993, § 23b [iii], p. 466; G. Vittmann, “Das demotische Graffito vom Satetemple auf Elephantine”, *MDAIK* 53, 1997, p. 268, n.i.

In this text *pꜣ .wy-ḥtp* refers to the subterranean galleries of the sacred animals in Tuna el-Gebel (Ibiotapheion), see G. R. Hughes, “The Cruel Father. A Demotic Papyrus in the Library of G. Michaelides”, in *Studies in Honor of John A. Wilson*, *SAOC* 35, 1969, p. 48,3-4; O.M. El-Hussein Zaghloul, *Frühdemotische Urkunden aus Hermupolis*, *BCPS* 2, 1985, p. 28, 2-3.

–  *nꜣ ntr.w pꜣ .wy-ḥtp*, *the gods of the resting place*. In the subterranean galleries of Tuna el-Gebel large numbers of the sacred animals are buried (baboons, falcons, snakes, shrews, etc.); they are all referred to as *pꜣ ntr*,⁶ while the official name of the subterranean galleries in Tuna el-Gebel necropolis is “the resting place of the ibis, the baboon, the falcon and the gods who rest with them”.⁷

–  *swrd (n) pꜣ hb*, *caretaker (of) the Ibis*. The same title was mentioned in P. Louvre E 3333, 3 [] and P. Louvre E 3334, 1 [] and read by J. Ray as *swt*, *embalmer*, see J. Ray, “The Complaint of Herieu”, *RdE* 29, 1979, p. 107, p. 110. But now the reading *swrd/swrd/ swrt* is preferred, see K.-Th. Zauzich, “Einige Bemerkungen zu den demot. papyri Louvre E. 3333 und E. 3334”, *Enchoria* 7, 1977, p. 122; *id.*, “Ein Zug nach Nubien unter Amasis”, in J. Johnson (ed.), *Life in a Multi-Cultural Society: Egypt from Cambyses to Constantine and beyond*, *SAOC* 51, 1992, p. 362; M. Pezin, “Ḥor, fils de Labys, φροντιστής / *swrd* d’Hathor de Dendera, en 98”, *RdE*, 43, 1992, p. 214; *The Demotic Dictionary of the Oriental Insitute of the University of Chicago*, *online version*, *CDD H* (01.1): p. 38.

⁶ M. EBEID, “Demotic Inscriptions from the Galleries of Tuna el-Gebel”, *BIFAO* 106, 2006, p. 58; as for the various sacred animals and birds which were buried in the galleries of Tuna el-Gebel, see D. KESSLER, M.A. NUR EL-DIN, “Tuna al-Gebel. Millions of Ibises and Other Animals”, in S. Ikram (ed.), *Divine Creatures. Animal Mummies in Ancient Egypt*, Cairo, 2005, p. 152-163; A. VON DEN



DRIESCH, D. KESSLER, F. STEINMANN, V. BERTEAUX, J. PETERS, “Mummified, Deified and Buried at Hermopolis Magna – The Sacred Birds from Tuna el-Gebel, Middle Egypt”, *ÄgLev* 15, 2005, p. 210-236.

⁷ D. KESSLER, M.A. NUR EL-DIN, *op. cit.*, p. 137; as well as the previous name and others, in dem. Pap. Cairo 24/11/62/2, r^o.6, dem. Pap. Cairo


24/11/62/3, r^o.6, another name of the subterranean galleries in Tuna el-Gebel necropolis is mentioned as follows: *pꜣ .wy-ḥtp n pꜣ hb pꜣ “n nty ḥr ḥmmt n ḥmmw*, *the resting place of the Ibis and the Monkey, which is in the west of Hermopolis*, see A. FARID, “Two Demotic Annuity Contracts”, in *Studies in Honor of Ali Radwan*, *CASAE* 34/1, 2005, p. 326, p. 342.

– As for the formula $n3 ntr.w p3 ' .wy-htp di.t ' nh n swrd (n) p3 hb P3-di-p3-hb s3 P3y-Wsir (?) irm n3y=f rmt(.w) dr=w$, the gods (of) the resting place give life to caretaker (of) the Ibis $P3-di-p3-hb$ son of $P3y-Wsir$ and all his people. [God X gives life to N.N.], as a formula of the votive inscriptions, see S. P. Vleeming, *op. cit.*, § 1, p. 250-253.

• Line 2-3






–  $P3-di-p3-hb s3 P3y-Wsir (?)$, $P3-di-p3-hb$ son of $P3y-Wsir$. For the personal name $P3-di-p3-hb$ see *Demot. Nb.* I/5, p. 306; for the personal name $P3y-Wsir (?)$  although the first element of the name resembles the article $p3$, the reading $p3y$ seems more likely here especially that the article $p3$ occurs in each line of the text in a rounded form; as for the name $P3y-Wsir$, cf. $P3-Wsir$, *Demot. Nb.* I/5, p. 360; *Demot. Nb.* I/8, *fragliches*, p. 549; and cf. $P3y-Wsir (?)$, *Demot. Nb.* I/8, *fragliches*, p. 566 (for the corrected hand facsimile of this name, see *Demot. Nb.* I /18, p. 180).

$P3-di-p3-hb$ son of $P3y-Wsir$ and all his people are the owners and donors of the dedicatory object.

– The sign  which follows the personal name $P3y-Wsir (?)$ is written in a rounded form like the rounded forms of the article $p3$ in the text, so it could be read as $\{p3\}$ which ought to be inserted here.

$i.ir di.t ir=w p3 ' (.wy)-p(s>y) m-b3h p3 hb Is.t$, who have let the bakery be made before the Ibis (and) Isis; $i.ir di.t ir=w p3 ' (.wy)-p(s>y)$ is a relative clause followed by passive form, in which the antecedent – $P3-di-p3-hb$ son of $P3y-Wsir$ and all his people donors of the dedicatory object – is identical to the subject.

In this text the vivifying gods are “the gods of the resting place”, while the gods to whom the bakery was donated are the Ibis and Isis, for further information on this formula in the votive inscriptions see, S.P.Vleeming, *op. cit.*, § 3, p. 254-255.

–  $p3 ' (.wy)-p(s>y)$, the bakery, see W. Erichsen, *Demotisches Glossar*, p. 53, p. 139.⁸ As for the writing way of $p3 ' (.wy) - p(s>y)$, one can observe that  was written without the house determinative  at the end, and the oblique stroke on the vertical sign is a natural fault on the stone surface. The determinative of the word $p(s>y)$ [] depicting the brazier with flame rising from it []⁹ runs in favour of the meaning *bakery*.

The inscription on this block commemorated erection of this bakery – the dedicatory object – for the production of the bread used for offerings in the necropolis.

⁸ The reading $p3 ' .wy - psy$ [$p3 ' .wy (n) psy$], the bakery, the bake house, the house of baking, the house of cooking, was first established by G. Mattha, see G. MATTHA, “The Word for ‘Bake House’ in the Demotic Texts”, *Miscellanea Greco-griana*, 1941, p. 133-134; G. MATTHA,

“The αρτοκοπεῖον in Demotic Texts”, *BIFAO* 45, 1945, p. 59-60; and see also Fr. DE CENIVAL, *Papyrus démocratiques de Lille (III)*, *MIFAO* 110, 1984, p. 49-50, 4, 1.

⁹ A. GARDINER, *Egyptian Grammar. An Introduction to the Study of Hiero-*

glyphs, 3rd. ed., London, 1957, p. 500, Sign-list Q 7; O. EL-AGUIZY, *A Palaeographical Study of Demotic Papyri in the Cairo Museum from the Reign of King Taharka to the End of the Ptolemaic Period (684-30 BC)*, *MIFAO* 113, 1998, p. 142, pl. CLX, p. 356-357.

– As for the vertical sign \uparrow and its variant forms, it is problematic in Tuna el-Gebel demotic inscriptions, because it could be read *sh* and *n-tr.t*.¹⁰ But the exact reading and meaning of the sign depends on the nature and the context of the text.

In the votive inscriptions preposition *n-tr.t* is usually followed by the name of the donor of the votive object.¹¹ In this text *Dd-hr* son of *Dhwtj-mn* 𓂏𓂏𓂏𓂏𓂏𓂏 who bears the rank “the priest of *Iy-m-htp*, the Great, son of Ptah” who made the dedicatory object for the donors, is mentioned after *n-tr.t*. He might be one of the responsables for the Ibis organization in Tuna el-Gebel necropolis. As for the personal name *Dhwtj-mn*, see *Demot. Nb.* I/17, p. 1303.

• Line 4

– 𓂏𓂏𓂏𓂏𓂏𓂏 *Iy-m-htp wr s3 Pth, Imhotep, the Great, son of Ptah*, see A. Farid, “Sieben Metallgefäße mit demotischen Inschriften aus Kairo und Paris”, *RdE* 45, 1994, p. 120-122; Chr. Leitz, *LGG* I, p. 124-125, s.v. *Ii-m-htp*.

2. Limestone block Inv. No. 1489/774, 29/s.s.

Material: Limestone.

Provenance: Tuna el-Gebel (area of the funerary houses?).


Dimensions: Height: 17 cm; Width: 29 cm; Maximum Thickness: 5 cm.

Bibliography: Unpublished.

Content: Votive inscription.

Dating: Early Roman period.

Description: Rectangular block of limestone with an engraved demotic text in three lines with some traces of red colour; the text on the block is not very clear in some parts.



2. Limestone block Inv. No. 1489/774, 29/s.s.

¹⁰ M. EBEID, “Demotic Inscriptions from the Galleries of Tuna el-Gebel”, *BIFAO* 106, 2006, p. 60j. In his fruitful commentary on my previous article,

Dr M. Chauveau suggested reading the vertical sign *n-tr.t* “la main de, par”, instead of *sh*. I agree with him now.

¹¹ S.P. VLEEMING, *op. cit.*, p. 253.

- [1] *ḥ3.t-sp 35.t ḥb.t-2 pr.t <sw> 5 <t3> ḥ.t n*
 [2] *Twtw (s3) Ḥr-nd-ḫt=f p3 Mr p3 rmt*
 [3] *Ḥmnw n nfr n nfr*




- [1] *Year 35, Mechir, <day> 5, <the> tomb of*
 [2] *Twtw (son of) Ḥr-nd-ḫt=f, the overseer, the inhabitant of*
 [3] *Hermopolis as a thing of real goodness.*

Commentary



- Line 1

As for the dating “Year 35, Mechir, <day> 5”, it might refer to the year 35 of the reign of Emperor Augustus [30 Jan.-31 Jan. 5-6 AD] who ruled between 30 BC-14 AD¹².

- Line 2

– As for the name *Ḥr-nd-ḫt=f*  see, *Demot. Nb.* I/II, p. 825-826.
 – , a title beginning with *p3 mr*, *the overseer, the leader*, while the determinative of the word represents the  sign, but the reading of the word is not clear.¹³

- Line 3

n nfr n nfr  as a thing of real goodness. For the expression  *nfr n nfr*, a thing of real goodness, in the votive inscriptions almost written in hieroglyphic, see S.P. Vleeming, *op. cit.*, § 4, p. 255.

3. Limestone tomb stela¹⁴ TG 5275

Provenance: Roman tomb no. 3 (to the east of the galleries), season 2004.

Material: Limestone.


Dimensions: Height: 27 cm; Width: 25 cm;
Maximum Thickness: 8 cm.

Bibliography: Unpublished.

Content: Votive inscription.

Dating: Early Roman.

Description: Limestone stela bearing four incomplete lines of a demotic text partly damaged. Apparently the block was reused for other purposes.



3. Limestone tomb stela TG 5275.

¹² P. W. PESTMAN, *Chronologie égyptienne d'après les textes démotiques (332 av. J.-C. - 453 apr. J.-C.)*, *P.Lugd.Batau.* 15, 1967, p. 84, p. 89.

¹³ Prof. S.P. Vleeming suggested to me that this title might be read as *p3 sn' (?)*, “the baker” (see G. VITTMANN, “Zwei Spätzeittitel”, *SAK* 21, 1994, p. 338-343),

or *p3 hn (?)*, “the oarsman (?)”.

¹⁴ For the tomb stelae, see A. FARID, *op. cit.*, p. 245-266.


[1] <i>Wsr</i>	<i>Osiris</i>
[2] <i>Ns-p3- hb (s3) [H(?)]</i>	<i>Ns-p3- hb (son of) [H]</i>
[3] <i>iw <=f> mwt n h3.t-sp 42</i>	- <he> is dead in year 42
[4] <i>ib.t 3 pr.t.....</i>	-Phamenoth.....

Commentary

- Line 1

The traces following the title *Wsr* are probably the first element of the name of the owner of the stela which continues on the next line.

- Line 2

The group  could be read *H* or *H*<*w*>(?).

- Line 3

As for the dating, year 42 is more likely although the text is damaged and is not clear in this part. It might refer to the year 42 of the reign of Emperor Augustus (Feb.-... March 12th–13th AD)¹⁵, especially that the stela has been reused and the archaeological proof denotes an early Roman dating to this tomb. The rest of the dating which comprises the day is broken.

4. Limestone stela TG 433

Provenance: Tuna el-Gebel. Gallery C-C-4¹⁶. Season 1983.

Material: Limestone.


Dimensions: Height: 23,5 cm; Width: 24,5 cm;
Maximum Thickness: 9 cm.

Bibliography: Unpublished.

Content: Votive inscription.

Dating: Early Ptolemaic.¹⁷

Description: Incomplete limestone stela. The upper part of the stela shows the figure of a god, probably Osiris, standing before an offering table. On the lower part, three demotic lines. The scene and the text are both engraved on the surface of the stela.



4. Limestone stela TG 433.

¹⁵ P.W. PESTMAN, *op. cit.*, p. 91.

¹⁶ As for galerie C in the Ibiotapheion, see D. KESSLER, "Die Galerie C von Tuna el-Gebel", *MDAIK* 39, 1983, p. 107-124. (Taf.17-24); *id.*, "Die Galerie C von Tuna: Forschungsstand bis 1983. Vorbericht über die Kampagnen Früh-

jahr und Herbst 1983", in J. Boessneck (ed.), *Tuna el-Gebel I. Die Tiergalerien*, *HÄB* 24, 1987, p. 3-36; D. KESSLER, M.A. NUR EL-DIN, "Tuna al-Gebel. Millions of Ibises and Other Animals", *op. cit.*, p. 142-148.

¹⁷ The dating here depends on the place in which this piece was found as well as on the palaeographical characteristics.

[1] *Wsr Ns-nhm-^cn.....*

Osiris Ns-nhm-^cn.....

[2] *[Dd-hr(?)]-p3-hb s3 Hr-nfr p3.....*

[Dd-hr(?)]-p3 -hb son of Hr-nfr the.....

[3] *[.....]-sm3-t3.wy p3 by.t*

[.....]-sm3-t3.wy the.....


Commentary

● Line 1

As for the personal name *Ns-nhm-^cn*, see *Demot. Nb.* I/9, p. 684. The following traces are probably a brief name or title following *Ns-nhm-^cn*.


● Line 2

– The traces at the beginning of the line might be the first element of a personal name, it could be restored as *[Dd-hr(?)]* as Prof. S.P. Vleeming proposed.

– As for the personal name *Hr-nfr*, see *Demot. Nb.* I/II, p. 824. The Group  which followed the name with the definite article *p3* might denote a title or profession. I have no satisfactory reading for this group.

● Line 3

– The traces preceding *sm3-t3.wy* are probably the first element of the personal name.¹⁸ As for the personal name *Sm3-t3.wy*, see *Demot. Nb.* I/12, p. 924-925.

–  *p3 by.t*: The word *p3 by.t* after the personal name *[.....]-sm3-t3.wy* could be taken as a title or as a profession. For further information on *p3 by.t*, see W. Erichsen, *Demotisches Glossar*, p. 350; G. Vittmann, *Der demotische Papyrus Rylands IX, I-II*, *ÄAT* 38, 1998, p. 539-540 (P. Ryl. IX, col. XVIII, 1). As Prof. S.P. Vleeming suggested to me, this is probably to be taken as the noun discussed by G. Vittmann.

5. Limestone stela TG 109

Provenance: Tuna el-Gebel, gallery C-C-4,
season 1980.

Material: Limestone.

Dimensions: Height: 24.5 cm; Width: 22 cm;
Maximum Thickness: 6 cm.


Bibliography: Unpublished.

Content: Votive inscription.

Dating: Early Ptolemaic.

Description: Part of incomplete limestone stela, in a bad state of preservation; it bears two lines of demotic text which are partly damaged.

G 109.



5. Limestone stela TG 109.

¹⁸ As for these traces Prof. S.P. Vleeming suggested to me that they might be restored as *[..... s3 P3-di-Hr-]sm3-t3.wy*: [... son of *P3-di-Hr-]sm3-t3.wy*, or something like this.

[1] *Wr-di.w- 'l(?) m3'-hrw*

Wr-di.w-'l(?) justified.

[2] *P3-di-Wsîr (s3) Nfr-sm3-t3.wy*

P3-di-Wsîr (son of) Nfr-sm3-t3.wy

Commentary

- Line 1

For the personal name *Wr-di.w*, see *Demot. Nb.* I/3, p. 179. As for 'l (?), cf. W. Erichsen, *Demotisches Glossar*, p. 67.

- Line 2

For the personal name *P3-di-Wsîr*, see *Demot. Nb.* I/5, p. 298-299. The personal name *Nfr-sm3-t3.wy* is not attested in *Demot. Nb.* I. Prof. S. P. Vleeming reads the first name *P3-di-îs.t* instead of *P3-di-Wsîr* and considered the god's determinative at the end of the name as *mw.t(=f):* <his> mother, followed by the woman's name *Nfr.(t)-sm3-t3.wy*.

6. Limestone block TG 428.

Provenance: Tuna el-Gebel, gallery C-C-4, season 1983.

Material: Limestone.


Dimensions: Height: 25 cm; Width: 34 cm; Maximum Thickness: 10 cm.

Bibliography: Unpublished.

Content: Unclear.

Dating: Early Ptolemaic.

Description: Incomplete block of limestone in a bad state of preservation bearing six lines of bilingual Greek and demotic text, four Greek lines, and two lines of demotic text at the end. The demotic text is lost, except for two lines partly damaged.



6. Limestone block TG 428.

The demotic text

[1] *t3 h.t n []*

The tomb of []

[2] *Wr s3 M3'-Dhwty []*

Wr son of M3'-Dhwty []

- Line 2

The first element of the personal name could be read also $\dot{I}^c h$; as for the personal name $\text{𓆎𓆏𓆑} M\dot{s}^c-Dhwtj$, see *Demot. Nb.* I/8, p. 583.

The Greek part¹⁹

As for the Greek part of this text, the rough surface of the stone does not allow a coherent reading.

- Line 1

The reading: Πτ[ο]λεμαῖ[ος] or αἰ[ου] seems possible. The space for ο is a little bit too large.

- Line 2-3

The traces are weak; the reading: γυνῆ or similar can not be proved.

- Line 3

It is seducible to read ἔτων in combination with the age of the person following. But it is not possible to define the inscription as a tomb inscription.

It is uncertain if these are traces of another line above. In this case the stone must have been cut above secondary.

Indexes

I. Personal names

1. <i>Wr s. of M\dot{s}^c-Dhwtj []</i>	6, 2
2. <i>Wr-di.w-\dot{l}(?)</i>	5, 1
3. <i>P\dot{s}-di- Ws\dot{i}r (s.of) Nfr-sm\dot{s}-t\dot{s}.wy</i>	5, 2
4. <i>P\dot{s}-di-p\dot{s}-hb s. of P\dot{s}y-ws\dot{i}r(?)</i>	1, 2
5. <i>Ns-n\dot{h}m-\dot{s}n-.....</i>	4, 1
6. <i>Twtw (s. of) Hr-nd-$\dot{i}$$\dot{x}$=f</i>	2, 2
7. <i>Dd-\dot{h}r s. of Dhwtj-mn</i>	1, 3
8. <i>[Dd-\dot{h}r-(?)]-p\dot{s}-hb s. of Hr-nfr</i>	4, 2
9. <i>.....-ns-p\dot{s}-hb (s. of) [H\dot{s}(?)]</i>	3, 1-2
10. <i>[.....]-sm\dot{s}-t\dot{s}.wy</i>	4, 3

II. Gods

1. <i>\dot{I}^c-m-\dot{h}tp</i>	1, 4
2. <i>\dot{I}^c.t</i>	1, 3
3. <i>Ws\dot{i}r</i>	3, 1; 4, 1

4. <i>p\dot{s} hb</i>	1, 3
5. <i>Pt\dot{h}</i>	1, 4
6. <i>n\dot{s} ntr.w n p\dot{s} \dot{s}.wy-\dot{h}tp</i>	1, 1

III. Titles

1. <i>p\dot{s} w\dot{s} b n \dot{I}^c-m-\dot{h}tp</i>	1, 4
2. <i>p\dot{s} mr(?)</i>	2, 2
3. <i>p\dot{s} \dot{h}y.\dot{x}(?)</i>	4, 3
4. <i>p\dot{s}</i>	4, 2
5. <i>swrd (n) p\dot{s} hb</i>	1, 1

IV. Toponyms

1. <i>p\dot{s} \dot{s}.wy-\dot{h}tp</i>	1, 1
2. <i>Hmnw</i>	2, 3

¹⁹ The Greek part of this inscription has been given to Prof. H. Heinen (Trier University) via Prof. D. Kessler, who kindly made the comment on this part.



FIG. 1. Limestone block Inv. No. 1728/258.



FIG. 2. Limestone block Inv. No. 1489/774, 29/s.s.




FIG. 3. Limestone tomb stela TG 5275.




FIG. 4. Limestone stela TG 433.



FIG. 5. Limestone stela TG 109.




FIG. 6. Limestone block TG 428.