



ANNALES ISLAMOLOGIQUES

en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne en ligne

AnIsl 49 (2016), p. 99-114

Maurice A. Pomerantz

An Epic Hero in the Maqāmāt ? Popular and Elite Literature in the 8th/14th Century

Conditions d'utilisation

L'utilisation du contenu de ce site est limitée à un usage personnel et non commercial. Toute autre utilisation du site et de son contenu est soumise à une autorisation préalable de l'éditeur (contact AT ifao.egnet.net). Le copyright est conservé par l'éditeur (Ifao).

Conditions of Use

You may use content in this website only for your personal, noncommercial use. Any further use of this website and its content is forbidden, unless you have obtained prior permission from the publisher (contact AT ifao.egnet.net). The copyright is retained by the publisher (Ifao).

Dernières publications

9782724711400	<i>Islam and Fraternity: Impact and Prospects of the Abu Dhabi Declaration</i>	Emmanuel Pisani (éd.), Michel Younès (éd.), Alessandro Ferrari (éd.)
9782724710922	<i>Athribis X</i>	Sandra Lippert
9782724710939	<i>Bagawat</i>	Gérard Roquet, Victor Ghica
9782724710960	<i>Le décret de Saïs</i>	Anne-Sophie von Bomhard
9782724710915	<i>Tebtynis VII</i>	Nikos Litinas
9782724711257	<i>Médecine et environnement dans l'Alexandrie médiévale</i>	Jean-Charles Ducène
9782724711295	<i>Guide de l'Égypte prédynastique</i>	Béatrix Midant-Reynes, Yann Tristant
9782724711363	<i>Bulletin archéologique des Écoles françaises à l'étranger (BAEFE)</i>	

An Epic Hero in the *Maqāmāt*?

Popular and Elite Literature in the 8th/14th Century

♦ ABSTRACT

This article discusses the *Maqāma qāhiriyya* from the *Maqāmāt rayyāniyya* of Šaraf al-Dīn Abū ‘Abdallāh al-Ḥusayn b. Sulaymān b. Rayyān (702-770/1302-1369). Ibn Rayyān’s *Maqāma qāhiriyya* alludes to the popular epic (*sīra*) of ‘Antar b. Šaddād. Discussing the motifs drawn from popular epic in this *maqāma*, the article argues that Ibn Rayyān’s *maqāma* references *Sīrat ‘Antar b. Šaddād* to foreground differences and similarities between the *maqāma* and the popular *sīra*. This, in turn, shows the *Qāhiriyya* to be a site where the ethics of elite and popular forms contend.

Keywords: *maqāma*, *sīra*, popular epic, ‘Antar b. Šaddād, *Maqāmāt rayyāniyya*, Mamluk literature.

♦ RÉSUMÉ

Cet article traite de la *Maqāma qāhiriyya*, contenue dans les *Maqāmāt rayyāniyya* de Šaraf al-Dīn Abū ‘Abdallāh al-Ḥusayn b. Sulaymān b. Rayyān (702-770/1302-1369). La *Maqāma qāhiriyya* d’Ibn Rayyān fait référence à l’épopée populaire (*sīra*) de ‘Antar b. Šaddād. En étudiant les motifs tirés de l’épopée *Sīrat ‘Antar b. Šaddād*, cet article entend élucider les différences et les similarités entre la *maqāma* et la *sīra*. Il montre ainsi que la *Qāhiriyya* est un champ d’affrontement entre l’éthique de l’élite et les formes populaires.

Mots-clés : *maqāma*, *sīra*, épopée populaire, ‘Antar b. Šaddād, *Maqāmāt rayyāniyya*, littérature mamelouke.

* Maurice A. Pomerantz, New York University, Abu Dhabi, mp147@nyu.edu

Introduction

Few now employ the notion of “decadence” to describe Mamluk and Ottoman-era Arabic literature. Recent efforts instead have focused on the literary environment for which writers wrote. Scholars now point to the existence of popular culture and literature in the pre-modern period.¹ Oral epics, poetry, and shadow plays existed alongside of elite forms. Yet these new categories and contexts pose problems. How did popular and elite literatures interact?

This article discusses the *Qāhiriyya* from the *Maqāmāt rayyāniyya* of Šaraf al-Dīn Abū ‘Abdallāh al-Ḥusayn b. Sulaymān b. Rayyān (702-770/1302-1369). Discussing the motifs drawn from popular epic in this *maqāma*, the article argues that Ibn Rayyān’s *Qāhiriyya* alludes to the popular *sīra*. This, in turn, shows the *Qāhiriyya* to be a site where the ethics of elite and popular forms contend.

The *maqāma* in a Period of Change: The *Maqāmāt rayyāniyya* in the 8th/14th Century

The *maqāma* is one of the longest lasting and widest traveling of premodern Arabic literary forms. After the foundational works of al-Hamaḍānī (d. 398/1008) and al-Ḥarīrī (d. 516/1122) later authors throughout the Muslim world adopted and adapted the form. Many writers composed *maqāmāt* in the 7th-8th/13th-14th centuries.

The 8th/14th century was a period of important changes to the *maqāma*. Jaakko Hämeen-Anttila states: “After al-Ḥarīrī, (...) *maqama* started to be seen as a more or less fixed genre, and al-Ḥarīrī’s picaresque/philological *maqama* became the model for some two centuries until the genre started disintegrating in the 14th century.”² Devin Stewart describes what he terms a “widening” of the *maqāma* genre during the same period. As Stewart notes, “from the fourteenth century on, the term *maqāma* comes to denote simply an epistle, written in rhymed prose, which indulges heavily in formal rhetorical flourishes and aspires to elegance.”³

Modern scholars have studied and edited only a fraction of the texts from this period. In the absence of detailed studies it is premature to outline the reasons for these changes and to what extent they are indicative of larger trends. Nonetheless, one can point to various features of the *maqāma* that were changing. Perhaps the most immediately recognizable is language.

1. See for example, the organization of Allen, Richards, 2006; Bauer, 2007, p. 151; Heath, 1996, p. xx, provides a good summary of the issues facing current research: “The two traditions have been in continuous interaction, both drawing from and playing off one another. One can seriously argue, in fact, that the dynamics, trends, fashions and histories of either are only completely understood if one fails to take into account the contours and internal operations of the other... Focusing on the magnificent achievements of Arabic and Islamic elite literatures while neglecting their constant interaction with their popular literature analogues can only lead one to misconstrue and misrepresent the concerns and accomplishments of elite authors and their works.”

2. Hämeen-Anttila, 2002, p. 126. For a discussion of al-Ḥarīrī’s collection, see Zakharia, 2000.

3. Stewart, 2006, pp. 145-158.

Hämeen-Anttila points to the existence of so-called “vulgar *maqāmas*” that employ dialect noting the possible influence of these works on the shadow plays of Ibn Dāniyāl.⁴

The *maqāmāt* of the 8th-9th/14th-15th centuries foreground narrative artistry over philological complexity. I have noted the way in which one Mamluk author, al-Ṣafadī al-Barīdī (fl. first quarter of the 8th/14th c.) in his *Maqāmāt al-farağ ba’d al-šidda* emphasizes narrative features of the *maqāma* through intertextual references to anecdotes from the genre of “relief after hardship” tales.⁵ The *Maqāmāt rayyāniyya* represent another example of the important role of narrative artistry in the *maqāmāt* of the Mamluk and Ottoman periods.⁶ Is this emphasis on dialectal language and narrative artistry a result of new audiences for the *maqāma* form?

Ibn Rayyān and his *Maqāmāt rayyāniyya*

Ibn Rayyān (702-770/1302-1369), the author of the *Maqāmāt rayyāniyya*, served in the Mamluk administration for much of his life. In 745/1344-1345, he became supervisor of the *dīwān* in Hama, a position which he held until 748/1347-1348. He then went to Cairo. Ibn Rayyān returned to Aleppo in 749/1348-1349 where he again served in the state administration.⁷

Ibn Rayyān corresponded with the famed Ḥalīl b. Aybak al-Ṣafadī (696-764/1297-1363). Al-Ṣafadī records several examples of the poetry and letters which they exchanged. Al-Ṣafadī praises Ibn Rayyān’s talents as a poet. In addition to the standard forms, Ibn Rayyān also composed *muwaššaḥs*, *zağals*, *mawāliyyās*, *bullayqs*, and *dūbayts*.⁸ Al-Ṣafadī particularly esteemed Ibn Rayyān’s *al-bullayq al-hazlī*. Ibn Rayyān also wrote a book entitled the *Zahr al-rabī‘* on *badī‘* which is not extant.⁹

Ibn Rayyān studied the *Maqāmāt* of al-Ḥarīrī with Šams al-Dīn b. al-Šā’ig (d. 725/1325) who was also the teacher of al-Ṣafadī.¹⁰ Al-Ṣafadī states that he once differed with Ibn Rayyān over the grammatical interpretation of one verse in the *Maqāmāt* of al-Ḥarīrī.¹¹ The exchange clearly demonstrates the intimate knowledge that Ibn Rayyān had of the *maqāma* genre.

4. Hämeen-Anttila, 2002, pp. 335-339; Guo, 2012.

5. Pomerantz, 2015a.

6. A similar collection of ten *maqāmāt* is the *Maqāmāt ‘Abbāsiyya* of ‘Abd al-Raḥīm b. ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-‘Abbāsī (d. 1556) is discussed in Pomerantz, 2015b.

7. Al-Ṣafadī, *al-Wāfi*, XII, pp. 369-377.

8. For the terms : *dūbayt*, *mawāliyyā*, and *bulayq*, see Larkin, 2006, pp. 189-242.

9. Al-Ṣafadī, *al-Wāfi*, XII, p. 377, records an example of *al-bullayq al-hazlī*. See Talib, 2014, pp. 280-283.

10. See van Ess, 1976, pp. 242-266 for his relationship with this scholar.

11. See al-Ṣafadī, *al-Wāfi*, IV, pp. 220-221; the dispute surrounded the verse:

fa-lam yazal yabtazzuhu dahruhu mā fīhi min baṭšin wa-‘ūdīn ṣalīb

“Time continued to take from him that which he possessed of strength and robust physique” (al-Ḥarīrī, *Maqāmāt*, 172).

The difference between the two men concerned whether the particle *mā* beginning the second hemistich was the second direct object of the verb (*yabtazzuhū*) or whether it was an appositive (*badl*) of the pronoun attached to the verb. In order to resolve this conundrum Ibn Rayyān wrote to the Šāfi‘ī ṣayḥ Kamāl al-Dīn

The *Maqāmāt rayyāniyya*

The ten *Maqāmāt rayyāniyya* are extant in two manuscripts.¹² The *maqāmāt* have the following titles (folios are listed in the Ms Cairo and Ms Gotha, respectively):

1. *al-ʿUmariyya* (ff. 2a-4a; ff. 260a-262b).
2. *al-Qāhiriyya* (ff. 4a-8a; ff. 262b-265b).
3. *al-Sinğāriyya* (ff. 13a-19a; ff. 265b-269b).
4. *al-Wizāriyya* (ff. 8a-11a; ff. 269b-272b).
5. *al-Ḥamawīyya* (ff. 11a-13a; ff. 272b-275b).
6. *al-Wāsiṭiyya* (ff. 24b-29b; ff. 275b-277a).
7. *al-Miṣriyya* (ff. 19a-24b; ff. 277a-283b).
8. *al-Baṣriyya* (ff. 29b-34b; ff. 283b-287b).
9. *al-Bağdādiyya* (ff. 34b-41a; ff. 287b-293a).
10. *al-Ḥalabiyya* (ff. 41a-46a; 293a-297).

In the introduction to the work, Ibn Rayyān describes his mode of composition. He states:

*annī samiʿtu min al-ḥikāyāt šayʿan kaṭīran wa-staḥsantu min mā law ḡamiʿtuhu kāna ḡuzʿan kaṭīran fa-ntaḥabtu minhā ʿašaran wa-ntaqaytu minhā ḡarāʾib law dārat ʿalā al-asmāʿ la-aršafathā ḥamran wa-sabat al-ʿuqūl bi-ḥusn bayānihā wa-inna min al-bayān la-siḥran fa-awdaʿtuhā durrān wa-abdaʿtuhā naẓman wa-naṭran wa-abraztuhā fī šūrat maqāmāt.*¹³

I have heard many stories that I have enjoyed. If I were to collect all of that which delighted me it would have been a lot. Therefore I selected ten from among these and chose rare ones were they to travel to those hearing they would drink of them like wine and captivate their minds on account of their eloquence. For indeed clear expression is a form of licit magic. I deposited pearls in them, and created them with original verse and prose, and made them appear in the form of *maqāmas*.

Ibn Rayyān thus first heard these tales. He then recorded these tales in rhymed prose, added verses, and placed them in the form of *maqāmāt* (*abraztuhā fī šūrat maqāmāt*). Ibn Rayyān's *maqāmāt* reflect an awareness of central features of the *maqāma* genre. He uses rhymed prose (*sağʿ*) and often alternates between prose and verse (*prosimetrum*).¹⁴

Muḥammad b. ʿAlī Ibn ʿAbd al-Wāḥid (d. 727/1326-1327) explaining the difference between them and seeking a resolution. The latter had been a student of Badr al-Dīn Ibn Mālik (d. 686/1287) a noted authority. ʿAbd al-Wāḥid answered these two young men with a *fatwā* in which he favored the reading of Ibn Rayyān. To al-Šafadī's credit he recorded the entire exchange even though the older grammarian favored the opinion of Ibn Rayyān.

12. The two extant manuscripts are: 1. Cairo, Institute of Arabic Manuscripts, copied in 891/1486-1487 by Yahyā b. ʿUthmān b. ʿUmar al-Ġawārī; 2. Gotha, Ms Oriental 2684, ff. 260-297, copied in Šaʿbān 1150/Nov.-Dec. 1737. See Pertsch, 1883, vol. 4, p. 419.

13. Ibn Rayyān, *al-Maqāmāt al-rayyāniyya*, Ms Cairo f. 2a; Ms Gotha f. 260a.

14. See Heinrichs, 1997, pp. 249-275.

An *isnād* precedes each of the ten *maqāmāt*. The *Ḥamawīyya* begins with the phrase: “I have learned from one of my friends, and those whose transmission I trust among beloved comrades (*aḥbaranī ba’d aṣḥābī wa-man aṭīqu bi-naqlihi min aḥbābī*).”¹⁵ The *Miṣriyya* opens: “I learned from some of the charming and elegant known for their affection and loyalty (*aḥbaranī ba’d al-ẓurafā’ minman yu’rafu bi-ḥifẓ al-mawadda wa-l-wafā’*).”¹⁶ A first-person narrator relates every one of the ten *maqāmāt*. But there is no consistent hero trickster figure as in al-Ḥarīrī’s *Maqāmāt*.

Although Ibn Rayyān states that he heard the tales, several derive from written sources. The *Wāsiṭiyya* borrows its plot from a tale in al-Tanūḥī’s collection, *Relief after Hardship*.¹⁷ Ibn Rayyān’s *Sinḡariyya* references the plot of both al-Ḥarīrī’s *Sinḡariyya* and al-Hamaḏānī’s *Maḏiriyya*. Several *maqāmāt* refer to events during the Mamluk period: the *Ḥamawīyya* refers to the Ayyubid rulers of Hama, and in particular to al-Malik al-Muẓaffar, the progenitor of the Ayyubid line in Hama, ‘Umar b. Šāhanšāh Nūr al-Dīn (r. 574-587/1178-1191). The *Wizāriyya* reflects the cadres of administrative officials to which Ibn Rayyān belonged.¹⁸

Ibn Rayyān’s *maqāmāt* do not seem to have circulated widely. Al-Itlīdī (d. 12th/18th century) reproduces Ibn Rayyān’s ‘*Umarīyya* in his *I’lām al-nās bi-mā waqa’a li-l-Barāmika ma’a Banī ‘Abbās*. Al-Itlīdī mentions Šaraf al-Dīn Ḥusayn b. Rayyān as the tale’s narrator.¹⁹

The Qāhiriyya

The *Qāhiriyya* is the report of a man who knows the “history of the rulers” (*aḥbār al-wulāt*). He states that his neighbor was a government official. This neighbor told him a tale of how once he was working in the government administration in Cairo. This official’s tale is as follows:

One day, the Sultan recognizes the official’s excellent performance and appoints him to supervise the affairs of the city of al-Maḥalla.²⁰ The official turns out to be extremely capable. He collects a great deal of money and secures the roads from robbers. For this, the administrator becomes well-known in government circles. The story begins when, one day, the Viceroy (*nā’ib al-sulṭān*) resident in Alexandria sends a shipment of one hundred thousand dirhams to Cairo. He orders a military officer and group of ten guards to transport

15. Ibn Rayyān, *al-Maqāmāt al-rayyāniyya*, Ms Cairo ff. 11a-13a; Ms Gotha f. 272b.

16. Ibn Rayyān, *al-Maqāmāt al-rayyāniyya*, Ms Cairo f. 19a; Ms Gotha f. 277a.

17. Al-Tanūḥī, *al-Faraḡ ba’d al-šidda*, IV, pp. 188-189. In the *Wāsiṭiyya*’s version of the tale, the merchant appoints the agent’s trustworthy son. The son however is kidnapped by the ferocious lion. He is saved from certain death when a group of pigs fortuitously distract the lion. While he is in the lion’s lair, the boy notices the merchant’s money which had been “stolen” by the lion. The final reunion scene with the merchant is a moment of “relief after hardship” (*al-faraḡ ba’d al-šidda*).

18. The frame tale of the *Sinḡariyya* concerns the reason that a man possesses exceptionally long whiskers. The tale explains his exploits in Sinḡar when an encounter with an itinerant beggar leads to his forfeiting his love interest. The tale is similar in construction to the *Maḏiriyya* of al-Hamaḏānī in which Abū al-Faṭḥ refuses to eat this dish and provides his tale as an explanation. Al-Ḥarīrī’s rewriting of the *Maḏiriyya* is similarly named *Sinḡariyya* which perhaps provided the inspiration for this tale.

19. Al-Itlīdī, *I’lām al-nās*, 1990, p. 11.

20. See Kramers, 1985.

the shipment. A guide brings these men to a location on the banks of the Nile in the vicinity of al-Maḥalla. That night, a group of men attacks and robs them of the Viceroy's money. Learning of this incident, the Viceroy sends an official missive (*al-marāsim al-šarīfa al-sultāniyya*) to the official. The Viceroy's letter orders the official to arrest the perpetrator and restore the stolen money. It threatens further that if he does not find those responsible and the money, the Viceroy will hold him responsible.

Fearing for his own life, the official is worried and cannot sleep. After gaining resolve through prayer, he summons a group of his own men who are "experienced" in affairs, informing them of the situation. He threatens them so that they recover the stolen money. He then informs them about the types of tricks.

One of the senior military commanders, however, feels humiliated by the official's speech. He dresses in poor man's clothing, bringing with him bread and salt and leaves the city. He arrives in a nearby village where he visits the local mosque where he meets a group of travelers and sufis. There, the commander begins to complain of his loss of wealth and family. His companions in the mosque pray, eat, and lie down to sleep. The men then begin to discuss recent news.

One of his companions mentions the theft of the Viceroy's shipment. He states that the perpetrators are a group of ten led by a certain Šaybūb. He describes Šaybūb as being a slave of good character. The man then gives the names of Šaybūb's companions and where they live. The commander rushes back to the official to inform him.

The official then leads a group to find the perpetrators. He arrests ten of them, and retakes their shares of the money. Šaybūb then confronts the official on the battlefield, kills his mount and throws him to the ground. Having the official in a vulnerable position, Šaybūb decides not to kill him. Instead, he escapes. Having lost Šaybūb, the official remains worried for he has not recovered all the money. A short while later, the official learns that Šaybūb's master lives in a nearby village. The master is a man of excellent character, who banished his servant Šaybūb when he committed crimes.

Seeking to get back the remaining money in Šaybūb's possession, the official hatches a plot. He goes to where the master resides and punishes him for the actions of his slave. He strips the man naked, and whips him. His wives were present at his torture and let their hair hang loose (as a form of lamenting), such that Šaybūb learns of it. Being a man of good character, he rushes to the scene and presents himself to the official. The official asks Šaybūb where the money is. He answers in rhymed prose, explaining his actions and shaming the official for unjustly torturing the innocent man.

The official states that Šaybūb astonished him. So he feels pity for Šaybūb, binds him in chains and brings him to Cairo. He presents Šaybūb before the Sultan and tells him the tale. The Sultan is so astonished at Šaybūb's bravery that he allows him to repent on the spot for his crime of brigandage. He then offers him money and a robe of honor. Finally, he makes Šaybūb a military commander (*muqaddam*) and appoints him to the guards. The Sultan then reappoints the administrator to his position in al-Maḥalla.

References to the *Sīra* of ‘Antar b. Šaddād

Ibn Rayyān states that his *maqāmāt* derive from oral tales (*ḥikāyāt*). The name of the character Šaybūb is well known from the *Sīrat ‘Antar b. Šaddād*. In the popular epic, Šaybūb is the trickster brother and companion of ‘Antar. Born of a slave girl Zabība, Šaybūb is the son of the “Black King” al-Ġawwār. He appears throughout the ‘Antar cycle as a virtuous, but deceptive warrior. Ibn Rayyān’s portrayal of the figure of Šaybūb does seem to conform with his representation in the *sīra* of ‘Antar.²¹

Poetry in the *maqāma* also directly refers to the figure of ‘Antar b. Šaddād, such as “when the voice of the situation” (*lisān al-ḥāl*) states after the first confrontation between the narrator and Šaybūb:

qad sami‘nā ‘an ibni Šaddādi a‘nī ‘Antarā fī al-ḥurūbi mā qad kafānā
wa-sami‘nā ‘an ḡayrihi min ḡawī al-ba‘si umūrun tušayyibu al-wildānā

We have heard all we need of Ibn Šaddād, by which I mean ‘Antar, in battles.

We have heard of other strong men’s feats, which would make youths turn grey-haired.

This reference to the popular epic of ‘Antar predates the first manuscripts of the *sīra* of ‘Antar which first appear in the 9th/15th century. But as Konrad Hirschler has noted, popular epics such as the *sīra* of ‘Antar b. Šaddād and al-Baṭṭāl were likely written and performed prior to this. The *Qāhiriyya* is thus an important witness to the increasing awareness of the *Sīrat ‘Antar* and other popular epics in elite circles.²²

According to Hirschler, popular epics challenged learned authority over the transmission of knowledge about the past. For this reason, scholars censured trade in these works which they viewed as filled with romance, magic and miracles.²³ Their complaints underscore the fact that many were reading or listening to these works. They portrayed “protagonists who generally emerge out of a marginal position to claim their pre-destined position through a series of adventures that allow them to display their ‘knightly’ traits”.²⁴ As such, the works achieved popularity among both elite and non-elite readers.

Competing Values in the *Qāhiriyya*

The *Qāhiriyya* begins from the point of view of the narrator, who is an administrative official. The Sultan appoints the official for his capacity to maintain law and order, prevent theft, and collect taxes. He is an obedient servant of the state, who maintains law and order

21. Lyons, 2012, pp. 17-27.

22. Hirschler, 2011, p. 166.

23. Hirschler, 2011, p. 168.

24. Hirschler, 2011, p. 165.

and for this, the Sultan values his services. His allegiance to the commands of his superiors and promotion of its interests is valuable to the state and Sultan.

Šaybūb, by contrast, is an outlaw. He breaks the law by stealing money sent by the Viceroy of Egypt to the Sultan. Šaybūb's band of outlaws murders innocents and engages in brigandage which is an offense punishable by death. The representatives of the state first want nothing more than to capture and kill the perpetrator of this crime.

The events of the *Qāhiriyya* resist the simple opposition between the "good" official and the "bad" outlaw. The outlaw's theft of the money and murder of the heroes (*abṭāl*) guarding the money by another group sets the plot in motion. Yet there is little dwelling on the injustice of the act. Rather, the plot focuses on the response of the official to this theft.

The first part of the story reveals that although the official is free, he is subject to the ruler's threat of violence. For the Viceroy's letter threatens the official with retribution if he does not find the perpetrators. The letter frightens the administrator to such a degree that he begins to worry. Yet the official does not oppose the injustice of these threats. Instead, he inflicts on his subordinates the same violent warnings of retribution. He threatens them so that they arrest the perpetrators and recover the stolen money.

If the first part of the story focuses on official threats of violence, the second section points out their inefficacy in the face of a strong, courageous, and noble opposition. The Viceroy's warnings do not achieve their intended result as they pass down through the hierarchy. For his insulting language causes one of his own military officers to resign his post. And it is the military man's movement outside administrative knowledge that leads to the crucial discovery of the outlaws. Rather than suppress this new information, the former military man immediately informs the administrator. The military man who has deserted his post has shown that courage is sometimes found in resistance.

The official's determination to carry out orders brings him into direct conflict with Šaybūb. But the battlefield reveals Šaybūb to be the courageous warrior. He could have killed the administrator on the battlefield, but did not. The voice of the situation (*lisān al-ḥāl*) extols Šaybūb in verse as being far braver than the men of the current age.²⁵

The official's actions after his defeat by Šaybūb further underscores the distance between the two men. Rather than granting Šaybūb a victory, the official turns to wanton violence. He seeks to entrap Šaybūb through the unjust torture of Šaybūb's innocent master.

Šaybūb's daring arrival on the scene marks an abrupt shift in the tale. Offering himself in exchange for the innocent free man, he demonstrates his courage. But even more so, he shows the limitations of adherence to the law. For Šaybūb argues that he stole the state's money because he needed to feed his children. Šaybūb's confrontation of the official points to the existence of morality outside of the laws of the state.

25. The final line of this poem is taken from al-Mutanabbī, see al-Mutanabbī, *Dīwān al-Mutanabbī*, n.d., p. 474. This type of poetic quotation is common in Ibn Rayyān's *Maqāmāt*.

In a surprising turn of events, the slave Šaybūb persuades the free official. The official in turn then relates his story of courage and bravery which then sways the Sultan. The Sultan's appointment of Šaybūb to his guard acknowledges his courage and value. The administrator returns to his position in the end.

Contending Genres: Elite and Popular Literature

The official and Šaybūb stand for two sets of contending values in the *Qāhiriyya*. The official's obedience to the state places him in conflict with the brigands. While Šaybūb's loyalty to his kin, comrades, and master puts him into conflict with the ruler. The official represents loyalty to the state, whereas Šaybūb exhibits loyalty to one's family, comrades, and master.

Conflicts between these competing values were inevitable in the Mamluk period. Thus, the *maqāma*'s final scene, in which the official tells the Sultan the tale of Šaybūb's great valor and courage is a moment of transaction and transition. At this point, the Sultan recognizes the real and unappreciated value of Šaybūb. The act of including Šaybūb in his personal guard legitimates his heroic virtue.

The moment of the Sultan's recognition of the hero is not only important for the action of the tale but evokes the basic narrative feature of the *maqāma*. The uncovering of the hero's identity (*anagnorisis*) has been suggested by Philip Kennedy as a central feature of the *maqāma* genre.²⁶ The epic hero Šaybūb, the man of wiles, similarly evokes the trickster hero of the *maqāmāt*, thus clearly showing the links between these elite and popular traditions.

Moreover, the Sultan's appreciation of Šaybūb points to a positive evaluation of the popular epic as a literary genre. While the character of Šaybūb is from the remote past, his virtues are not. The popular epic of 'Antar celebrates Šaybūb and his heroism. This positive valuation of epic seems to come not only from the Sultan of the story, but also reflects ideas of the Mamluk literary elite.

The central concern of this *maqāma* is an exchange of money between Alexandria and Cairo. Šaybūb's gang of outlaws interrupts the flow of this transaction. While such outlaws were not uncommon during the Mamluk period, the significance of their actions seems to be greater than a plot complication.²⁷ For throughout the *maqāma*, Šaybūb's trickster actions evoke the genre of the popular epic. Popular literature thus serves to enrich the *maqāma* and elite literary fictions. More than a simple monetary exchange, this *maqāma* seems a subtle act of literary borrowing that enriches the final composition.

26. Kennedy, 2009, pp. 26-61.

27. Petry, 2012, pp. 47-50 and 63-70.

Appendix: The Arabic Text of al-Maqāmā al-qāhiriyya

This edition is based on two manuscripts. I have preferred readings of Ms Cairo to Ms Gotha in most cases. I have refrained from altering the prose to conform to Classical Arabic grammar, and intervened only in the poetry.

المقامة الثانية القاهرية

ق: معهد المخطوطات العربية (القاهرة)

غ: غوتا

أخبرني بعض الرواة عمن له معرفة بأخبار الولاية

قال:

كان لي بالقاهرة دار هي مسكني * وإلى جانبها جار هو سكني * وذو
كفاية وإصابة ومهابة وصرامة وشهامة * قد قدمته يد العناية * إلى أعلاء مراتب الولاية²⁸ * قال أعجب
مارأيت²⁹ * من الغرائب وأعرب ما رويته³⁰ * من العجائب أن³¹ مولانا السلطان عظمه الله وأجله * وأحله
من منصب السيادة وأجله³² * فوّض إلي ولاية المحلة * وهي عمل كبير * يجبي منه خراج كثير * فباشرتهم
مباشرة عارفاً بأحوالها * خبيراً بعمالها وأعمالها * مجتهداً في تمييز أمر³³ أموالها * وتتميز غلالها * فأقمت
الحرمة * وقمعت كل ذي جرمة * فأمن السبيل * وصار³⁴ لي بذلك ذكر جميل³⁵ * واتصل بالمسامع
الشريفة * حسن سيرى وسيرتي³⁶ * وجميل معاملتي * في معاملتي³⁷ وكفايتي * في ولايتي ومسالمتي *
لأهل الدولة ومجالمتي³⁸ * فكنت إذا ذكرت * شكرت * فإن عرض أخذ بدمي³⁹ * كان لي في الحاضرين
من يردع خصمي *

28. ق: المراتب.

29. ق: رأيت.

30. ق: رأيت.

31. سقط من غ.

32. ق: أجله.

33. سقط من غ.

34. غ: شاع.

35. غ: الجميل.

36. الكلام غير واضح في غ.

37. هكذا في غ و ق.

38. غ: مجالبتي.

39. غ: بدمي.

فاتفق أن نائب الإسكندرية * جهز حملاً إلى الديار المصرية * مبلغه مائة ألف درهم ومعه عشرة من الأعوان⁴⁰ عليهم مقدم فنزل بهم⁴¹ الدليل * مكاناً⁴² على شاطئ النيل * وذلك المكان قريب من بلدي * داخل في العمل * تحت يدي * فما استقر بهم النزول * ووضعت الحمول * حتى وقع عليهم جماعة من الأبطال * فقتلوا الرجال * وأخذوا المال * فطالع نائب الإسكندرية بالقضية * وفوردت المراسم⁴³ الشريفة السلطانية * تتضمن الإنكار والتعنيف * والتحذير والتخويف * والتأكيد في التشديد * والترديد في التهديد * والمزيد في الوعيد * والمبالغة في عدم المراوغة والإلزام بإحضار الغرماء ذوي الإحترام والقيام * بالمال على التمام * وإن تعذر ذلك كانت أرواح المقتولين بروحك * والمال بمالك * فاستغزني الغيظ وصمت * وتفكرت في هذه الحالة وكظمت⁴⁴ *

[من الطويل]:

وُلِيتُ الْوَلَايَاتِ الَّتِي قَدْ تَعَدَّدَتْ فَكَمْ نَالَنِي مِنْهَا أَدَى أَنْعَبَ الْقَلْبَا
وَمِنْ عَدَمِ الْإِنْصَافِ أَنِّي مُطَالِبٌ بِذَنْبٍ وَعَیْرِي قَدْ جَنَى ذَلِكَ الذَّنْبَا
وَكَمْ مَرَّةً أَنْشَدْتُ رُبْعَ وَلايَتِي فَدُنْيَاكَ مِنْ رُبْعٍ وَإِنْ زِدْتُنَا كَرْبَا

قال فضقت ذرعاً وكدت أبغي * وبت تلك الليلة وقد حار ذهني * لم يغمض جفني * وقد اشتد قلقي * واستدت سبلي * وطريقي وقت أزفت الآزفة * ليس لها من دون الله كاشفة * توضأت وصليت * وسألت الله المعونة فيما توليت * وتلوت سورة الأنعام * ودعوت بين الجلالتين بالدعاء المأثور عن سيد الأنعام⁴⁵ * النبي صلى الله عليه شعر [من الطويل]:⁴⁶

إِلَهِي سَهْلٌ لِي خَلَاصِي وَنُصْرَتِي عَلَى الْغُرْمَا الْقَاتِلِينَ فَقَدْ طَغَوْا
سَطَوْا بِأَنَاسٍ لَا يُرِيدُونَ قَتْلَهُمْ وَلَا أَضْمَرُوا يَوْمًا أَذَاهُمْ وَلَا ابْتَغَوْا
وَلَا زَالَ لُطْفُ اللَّهِ جُمًّا وَإِنَّمَا يُعَجِّلُ هَلْكَ الظَّالِمِينَ إِذَا بَغَوْا

فنهضت في الحال * وقابلت المراسم الشريفة بالامتنال * وأحضرت من حولي من الرجال * والمتقدمين الأبطال * وأصحاب الإدراك في تلك الأعمال * وأعلمتهم بما جرى من الأحوال * وما طراً⁴⁷ على

40. غ: صحبه عشرة من أعوانه.

41. غ: بي.

42. غ: مكان.

43. غ: المواسم السلطانية.

44. ق: كضمت.

45. سقط من ق.

46. الشعر سقط من غ.

47. ق: طري.

أولئك الرجال⁴⁸ والمال * وشددت وهددت وخوفت * وأكدت ووعدت وأوعدت * وصرفتهم في ذلك العمل * وعرفتهم ما يعتمدونه في⁴⁹ أنواع الحيل * فقابلوا أمري بالامثال * وتفرقوا من بين يدي في الأعمال * [من الطويل]:

لَقَدْ ذَهَبُوا لَا خَيْبَ اللَّهُ سَعِيهِمْ وَيَرْجُونَ لُطْفَ اللَّهِ فِي ذَلِكَ السَّفَرِ
بِأَيِّ قُلُوبٍ يَذْهَبُونَ وَكُلُّهُمْ عِيُونَ تُرِيدُ الْكَشْفَ عَنْ ذَلِكَ الْخَبَرِ
هُمُومٌ وَتَهْدِيدٌ وَخَوْفٌ وَلَوْعَةٌ وَمَا كُلُّ هَذَا الْحَالِ يَحْمِلُهُ الْبَشَرُ
إِذَا اشْتَدَّ أَمْرُ الْمَرْءِ وَازْدَادَ هُمُّهُ تَيَقَّنَ أَنَّ اللَّهَ يَأْتِيهِ بِالظَّفَرِ

وكان فيهم مقدّم مرت به التجارب * وهذّبه النوائب * وكأنه⁵⁰ أنف من كلامي * وما حصل له من الذلّة قدامي * فترك داره على حالها * وحملها على أهوالها⁵¹ * وقال إن ولاية المحلة لا تنفي بهذه الذلّة * ولأخلصن من هذا⁵² البلاء ولبس زي الفقراء فلبس مسحاً⁵³ * واستصحب الزاد خبزاً وملحاً * فخرج من المدينة هارباً⁵⁴ مما جرى وضرب⁵⁵ بين هاتيك القرى فأفضى به المسير * إلى بلد صغير * فأوى إلى مسجده * وتأوه لفقد أهله وولده⁵⁶ * والمسجد قد اجتمع فيه من الفقراء الصالحين * والمشايخ السائحين * فصلوا فريضة العشاء * واجتمعوا على ما معهم من العشاء * ثم أخذوا مضاجعهم * وفتحوا مسامعهم * فصار كل منهم يتكلم على قدر⁵⁷ ما عنده من المعرفة * ويحكي ما⁵⁸ سمعه من الوقائع المستطرفة * فقال شخص هل سمعتم بهذه الأخيذة * التي أخذتها الحرامية * من الجماعة الواصلين من الإسكندرية * ثم حكى الحكاية معننة * وأوضحها مبينة * وذكر أن أخذتها عشرة من الأبطال * كلّ واحد منهم يعد برجال * مقدمهم عبد يُقال له شيبوب السلال * وهو عبد عزيز المروة * كثير الفتوة * لا يتميز في المغنم عن رفاقه * ولا يخرجون عن وفاقه * ثم ذكر أسماءهم وأماكنهم * وبين حلالهم⁵⁹ ومواطنهم * وذلك المقدم قد أصغى إليه * وفتح لإسماع قوله أذنيه * حتى استوفى في كلامه * وبلغ من مرامه * فسمع هائفاً يسمع صوت مقاله * ولا يرى شخص خياله * وهو ينشد في شرح حاله [من الطويل]:

48. سقط من غ.

49. غ: من.

50. غ: فكأنه.

51. غ: أحوالها.

52. سقط من ق.

53. غ: ولا لبس زي الفقراء فلبس مسحاً.

54. غ: هرباً.

55. غ: ضربت.

56. غ: غير واضح.

57. سقط من ق.

58. على قدر ما.

59. هكذا في ق ولعله حل ج حلية؟

تَوَقَّعَ مِنَ اللَّهِ الْكَرِيمِ لَطَائِفًا
فَشَاهَدَتْ فِيهِ الْأَوْلِيَاءُ وَقَلَمًا
أَتَيْتَ إِلَيْهِ بَانِكْسَارٍ وَذُلَّةٍ
وَرُزْمَتٍ اتَّضَاحَ الْحَالِ عَنْ كُلِّ مَعْشِرٍ
وَهُمْ⁶⁰ مَعْشَرٌ أَسَدٌ وَفِيهِمْ مُقَدَّمٌ
إِذَا لَازَ مَظْلُومٌ بَبَابِ إِلَهِهِ
فَقَابِلُ جَمِيلِ اللَّطْفِ بِالشُّكْرِ وَاعْتَمَدُ
سُبُدي لَكَ الْأَيَّامُ مَا كُنْتَ جَاهِلًا
وَوَثُقٌ بِالَّذِي أَوَّاكَ فِي خَيْرِ مَسْجِدٍ
تَرَاهُمْ عُيُونُ النَّاسِ فِي كُلِّ مَشْهَدٍ
وَتَأْتِي وَتَشْكُو مِنْ زَمَانٍ مُنْكَدٍ
حَرَامِيَّةٌ مَا فِيهِمْ غَيْرُ مَعْتَدٍ
عَوَائِدُهُ ضَرْبُ الْحُسَامِ الْمُهَنْدِ
تَيَسَّرَ مَا يَرْجُوهُ مِنْ كُلِّ مَقْصِدٍ
عَلَى اللَّهِ تَظْفِرُ بِالنَّجَاحِ وَأُنْشِدُ
وَيَأْتِيكَ بِالْأَخْبَارِ مَنْ لَمْ تُزَوِّدِ⁶¹

ثم نهض من ساعته * خفية من جماعته * وسلك الطريق * والتوفيق له رفيق * فوصل⁶² آخر الليل
وفعل من سرعة السير * ما لا يفعله⁶³ جياذ الخيل * وأخبرني بالخبر * وذكر ما ذكر * فركبت
في الحال * بمن معي من الرجال * وتبعْتُ الغرماء من أماكنهم⁶⁴ * ومسكت تسعة منهم من
مساكنهم * واستعدت من المال * تسعين ألف درهم على الكمال⁶⁵ * وبقي العبد المقدم * ومعه عشرة
آلاف درهم * فأخذت خبره * وتبعْتُ⁶⁶ أثره⁶⁷ * فوصف لي مكانه * وعظم لي⁶⁸ شأنه * فقصدت
ذلك المكان بالرجال * واحتطنا بذلك المجال⁶⁹ * فلم يكُ بأسرع من أن⁷⁰ خرج العبد إلينا * وحمل بسيفه
علينا * وصرخ صرخة أرعبت القلوب *
وقال: أنا شيبوب * ثم ضرب فرسي بصارمه * فألقى رأسه بين قوائمه * فجدلني⁷¹ * أومى بسيفه إليّ
ثم تركني⁷² * ولو شاء أن يقتلني قتلني * ثم عطف عليّ من معي من الرجال * فطرح منهم عشرة في ذلك
المجال * فما ضرب ضربةً إلا سقط بها مجروح * وكانت كفيلة بخروج الروح * فأحجمت عنه الرجال
حياراً * وولوا فراراً * وخرج هو على حمية حتى استتر عتاً وتواري وأنشد لسانُ الحال [من الخفيف]:

60. هم في الأصل.

61. هذا البيت من معلقة طرفة بن العبد، انظر: الزوزني، ١٩٨٠، ص ١٠٣.

62. غ: في آخر الليل.

63. غ: تفعله.

64. غ: تبعتهم من أماكنهم وأمسكت تسعة منهم من مساكنهم.

65. غ: سقط من غ.

66. غ: تبع.

67. من غ لأن الكلمة غير واضحة في ق.

68. غ: عليّ.

69. غ: محال.

70. غ: بأن.

71. ق: خذلني؟

72. غ: ونهري.

قَدْ سَمِعْنَا عَنْ ابْنِ شَدَادٍ أَغْنَى
وَسَمِعْنَا عَنْ غَيْرِهِ مِنْ ذَوِي الْبَأْسِ (م)
وَرَأَيْنَا فِي عَصْرِنَا حَالَةَ الْحَرْبِ (م)
غَيْرُ أَتْنِي مَا شَاهَدْتُ قَطَّ عَيْنِي
صَادِقُ الضَّرْبِ ثَابِتُ الْجَاشِ يَحْكِي
لَمْ يَخَفْ سَطْوَةَ الرِّجَالِ وَلَا هَابَ (م)
فَإِذَا لَمْ يَكُنْ مِنَ الْمَوْتِ بُدُّ
عَتَرَا فِي الْحُرُوبِ مَا قَدْ كَفَانَا
أُمُورُ تُشَيِّبُ الْوِلْدَانَا
رَجَالًا تَجَنَّدَلُ الشُّجْعَانَا
مِثْلُ هَذَا الْغُلَامِ لَمَّا التَقَانَا
صُورَةَ اللَّيْثِ بَلْ أَشَدُّ جَنَانَا
الْمَنَايَا لَمَّا التَقَى الْفُرْسَانَا
فَمِنْ الْعَجْزِ أَنْ تَكُونَ جَبَانَا

فقال فرجعت مهموماً مكسوراً * بعد ما كنت مسروراً منصوراً * فأخبرت أن العبد له مولى في بلد قريب إلينا⁷³ * غير بعيد عنا * وهو رجل معروف بالخير * موصوف بحسن السيرة والسير * لَمَّا تعلق العبد بالحرام أبعد⁷⁴ * ونفاه عنه وطرده * فسؤل لي الشيطان * قصد ذلك المكان * وإمساك مولاه عقوبته على ما فعل عبده وجناه فأتيت البلد ونصبت الخشب * وصلبت⁷⁵ التسعة على اللعب * ثم أحضرت مولى العبد وهو مرعوب * وألزمته بإحضار العبد شيبوب⁷⁶ * وجردته من أثوابه * وأوقفته عرياناً بإزاء بابه * وأمرت الجلاد أن يرقمه بأثيابه * فرقمه وأسال دمه ونساؤه لعقوبته مباشرة⁷⁷ * ولشعورهن ناشرات * فلَمَّا اتصل خبر الرجل بالعبد عز عليه * وحملته المروءة بالحضور إليه * ووجد وقوع نفسه فيما يخشاه * أسهل عليه⁷⁸ من وصول الأذى إلى مولاه * فاخترق الصفوف * ووقف بين الوقوف * ثم نظر إلي وقال يا مصحوب * كيف يكون شيبوب المطلوب * وهذا الشيخ المضروب * أين تذهب من علام الغيوب * كيف تنجو⁷⁹ من ظلامة هذا الشيخ المرعوب * كيف تخلص من دعائه المنكسرات القلوب * قال فأمرت بإمساكه * والتعجيل بإسلاكه * وقال لا تعجل * وافعل ما تفعل * أن المروءة لا تقتضي عقوبة هذا الشيخ ظلماً * ومن كنت عبده فالواجب أن يعد ويحمي فأطلق الشيخ إلى قصده * وافعل ما تختاره بعبده * فقلت أين المال * فقال يا أبطال المال مال * والحال ما حال المال * ينتفع به بعدي الرجال * المال أصرفه إلى الكماة والأبطال * المال تستعين به العيال * المال أتركه لهؤلاء الأطفال * لم ينفع الذي استعدته من رفاقي * فكيف تطلب مني إحضار الباقي * تعين الفوت * حضر الموت * والله لو نصبت سلماً * وصعدت فيه إلى السماء ما أحضرت من المال درهماً * فقال فحير عقلي وعطفي عليه عطفة عن قتله فقيدته وزندته * وسلسلته وغلقته * وحملت بين يدي المواقف الشريفة وأحضرت وأخبرت عنه بما شهدته منه وشاهدته ورويت ما رأيت وشرحت من شجاعته ما لمحت

73. غ: مئا.

74. غ: بعده.

75. غ: شنتته.

76. غ: سقط من غ.

77. غ: سائرات.

78. غ: سقط من غ.

79. ق: تنجوا.

فعجب مولانا السلطان من شجاعته * واستتوبه لساعته * وقال مثل هذا الشجاع ما يضاع وسامحه بالمال *
 وخلع عليه خلعة القبول والإقبال * وقرره على الحرس مقدماً وأجدى عليه من العين والغلة ما يكفيه منهما ثم
 بإنعام الشريف * وأعادني إلى الولاية بتشريف *
 قال الراوي فعددتها من لطائف ما يعقل * وظرائف ما ينقل.

Bibliography

Primary Sources

- Ibn Rayyān, *al-Maqāmāt al-rayyāniyya*, Ms Cairo, Institute of Arabic Manuscripts, copied in 891/1486-7 by Yahyā b. ‘Uthmān b. ‘Umar al-Ġawārī; Ms Gotha, Ms Oriental 2684, ff. 260-297, copied in Ša‘bān 1150/Nov.-Dec. 1737.
- al-Itlidi, *I‘lām al-nās bi-mā waqa‘a li-l-Barāmika ma‘a Banī ‘Abbās*, Dār Šādir, Beirut, 1990.
- al-Mutanabbī, *Dīwān al-Mutanabbī*, Dār Šādir, Beirut, n.d.
- al-Šafādī, *al-Wāfi bi-l-wafāyāt*, H. Ritter et al. (eds.), Franz Steiner, Wiesbaden, Beirut, 1962.
- al-Tanūhī, *al-Farağ ba‘d al-šidda*, ‘Abbūd al-Šālġī (ed.), Dār Šādir, Beirut, 1978.
- al-Zawzanī, *Šarḥ al-Mu‘allaqāt al-sab‘*, Dār al-Ḥikma, Damascus, 1980.

Secondary Sources

- Allen, Roger et al. (eds.), *Arabic Literature in the Post-Classical Period*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, 2006.
- Bauer, Thomas, “In Search of ‘Post-Classical Literature’: A Review Article”, *Mamlūk Studies Review* 11, 2007, pp. 137-167.
- van Ess, Josef, “Šafādī Splitter”, *Der Islam* 53, 1976, pp. 242-266.
- Guo, Li, *The Performing Arts in Medieval Islam: Shadow Play and Popular Poetry in Ibn Dāniyāl’s Mamluk Cairo*, Brill, Leiden, 2012.
- Hämeen-Anttila, Jaakkon, *Maqama: A History of a Genre*, Harrassowitz, Wiesbaden, 2002.
- Heath, Peter, *The Thirsty Sword: Sirat ‘Antar and the Arabic Popular Epic*, University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City, 1996.
- Heinrichs, Wolfhart, “Prosimetrical Genres in Classical Arabic Literature”, in Harris, Joseph & Reichel, Karl (eds.), *Prosimetrum: Crosscultural Perspectives on Narrative in Prose and Verse*, DS Brewer, Cambridge, 1997, pp. 249-275.
- Hirschler, Konrad, *The Written Word in the Medieval Arabic Lands: A Social and Cultural History of Reading Practices*, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 2011.
- Kennedy, Philip, “Islamic Recognitions: An Overview”, in Kennedy, Philip F. & Lawrence, Marilyn (eds.), *Recognition: The Poetics of Narrative: Interdisciplinary Studies on Anagnorisis*, Peter Lang, New York, 2009, pp. 26-61.
- Kramers, J.H., “al-Maḥalla al-Kubrā”, *Encyclopédie de l’Islam*, 2^e éd., Brill, Leiden, V, 1985, pp. 1211-1212 (french version).
- Larkin, Margaret, “Popular Poetry in the Post-Classical Period, 1150-1850”, in Allen, Roger & Richards, D.S. (eds.), *The Cambridge History of Arabic Literature*, vol. 6, *Arabic Literature in the Post-Classical Period*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, 2006, pp. 189-242.
- Lyons, M. C., *The Man of Wiles in Popular Arabic Literature: A Study of a Medieval Arab Hero*, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 2012.

- Pertsch, Wilhelm, *Die arabischen Handschriften der Herzoglichen Bibliothek zu Gotha*, vol. 4, F.A. Pertes, Gotha, 1883.
- Petry, Carl F., *The Criminal Underworld in a Medieval Islamic Society: Narratives from Cairo and Damascus Under the Mamluks*, Middle East Documentation Center, Chicago, 2012.
- Pomerantz, Maurice A., "The Play of Genre: A *Maqāma* of 'Ease after Hardship' from the Eighth/Fourteenth Century and its Literary Context", in Pomerantz, Maurice A. & Shahin, Aram A. (eds.), *The Heritage of Arabo-Islamic Learning: Studies Presented to Wadad Kadi*, Brill, Leiden, 2015a, pp. 461-482.
- , "Tales from the Crypt: On Some Uncharted Voyages of Sindbad the sailor", in *Narrative Culture* 2, 2, 2015b, pp. 250-269.
- Talib, Adam, "Caricature and Obscenity in *mujūn* and African-American Women's Hip Hop", in Talib, Adam et al. (eds.), *The Rude, the Bad and the Bawdy: Essays in Honour of Professor Geert Jan van Gelder*, Gibb Memorial Trust, London, 2014, pp. 280-283.
- Zakharia, Katia, *Abū Zayd al-Sarūḡī, imposteur et mystique. Relire les Maqāmāt d'al-Ḥarīrī*, Institut Français de Damas, Damascus, 2000.